



CRM-M-72199-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CRM-M-72199-2025
Decided on: 16.03.2026

Ansh Bansal and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. K.P.S. Dhaliwal, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Datta, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Jasdeep Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
1. Ans Bansal, aged about 25 years 2. Ashish Jindal, aged about 29 years	426	05.09.2025	304, 126(2), 190 of BNS	Zirakpur	SAS Nagar

2. On 22.12.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of	FIR No.	Date	Section (s)	Police Station	District
---------------	---------	------	-------------	----------------	----------



Petitioner (s)					
1. Ansh Bansal, aged about 25 years 2. Ashish Jindal, aged about 29 years	426	05.09.2025	304, 126(2), 190 of BNS	Zirakpur	SAS Nagar(Mohali)

2. *As per allegations, there is a dispute of the payment of sale consideration in regard to a car, i.e., Mercedes CLA 200, registration No. PB23-V-4455, which was sold by the petitioners – Ashish Jindal and Ansh Bansal, partners in NEXT GEN CARS at Patiala to complainant – Sanjiv Kumar, for a total amount of Rs.16.00 lakhs. Part payment of Rs.12.00 lakhs had been paid and on account of non-payment of the balance payment of Rs.4.00 lakhs, possession of the car was taken back by the petitioners with the help of antisocial elements. Thus, it is argued that dispute is in regard to the complete sale consideration and not of the nature, as has been projected in the FIR. In view of above, learned counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.*
3. *Notice of motion.*
4. *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report.*
5. *Adjourned to 16.03.2026.*
6. *At this stage, Mr. Harman Bir Singh Juneja, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and files his memo of appearance. He undertakes to file his Vakatlanama in the registry in due course of time.*
7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to*



join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit requisite affidavits, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court. ”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioners contends that, in compliance with the order dated 22.12.2025 passed by this Court, petitioners have joined the investigation and have extended full cooperation. He, therefore, prays for confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of an affidavit of Ghazalpreet Kaur, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar, Mohali, in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

Learned State counsel on instruction, submits that in pursuance of the directions issued by this Court, petitioners joined the investigation and as of now, their custodial interrogation is not required.

**CRM-M-72199-2025****4**

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. Since, petitioners have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogation is no more required; ad-interim bail order dated 22.12.2025, passed by this Court is hereby made absolute. Accordingly, present petition is allowed.

However, petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, present order would be subject to the submission of their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, they would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as non est automatically.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.03.2026

Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**