



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

141

CRM-M-72296-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.03.2026

RAJWINDER SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.29, dated 08.11.2025, under Sections 7, 7-A of PC Act, 1988 Amended Act, 2018 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station Vigilance Bureau, Range Ferozepur.

2. On 22.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated by wrongly alleging to have demanded bribe of Rs.5,000/- on behalf of the Patwari, who has been granted interim anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 17.12.2025, Annexure P-2. The petitioner is not involved in any other case. He is ready to give his voice samples, in case, he is permitted to join investigation and will cooperate with the investigating agency.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 04.01.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 09.02.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating

agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating Officer affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 22.12.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

10.03.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No