



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-38413-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2026

RADHEY SHYAM AIRAN

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Deepak Vashisht, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Advocate,
Mr. Ojasvi Taak, Advocate and
Mr. Hardeep Singh Poonia, Advocate
For respondent Nos. 3 & 4

Mr. Nilesh Bhardwaj, Advocate with
Ms. Vanshika Daaria, Advocate and
Mr. Dushyant Rana, Advocate
For respondent Nos. 6 & 7

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of notification dated 06.12.2025 (Annexure P-5) whereby respondent No.4-Choudhary Bansilal University, Bhiwani has appointed an adhoc committee in place of elected governing board of the society.

2. The petitioner claims that respondent No.4 has illegally appointed an adhoc committee to look after affairs of the College which is managed by society named as Sarwasi College of Education, Charkhi Dadri.

The society is registered under Haryana Registration and Regulation of



Societies Act, 2012. Election of society was conducted on 06.07.2025. Outcome of the election was approved by District Registrar, Charkhi Dadri vide order dated 27.07.2025. The respondent in its reply has disclosed that there were four members of the governing board. Three members i.e. Vice President, General Secretary and Treasurer have resigned vide letters dated 07.11.2025, 29.04.2025 and 06.11.2025 respectively. Vice President and General Secretary requested the respondent to constitute an adhoc committee. They also made a complaint to Deputy Registrar seeking stay on meeting of the governing body which was going to be held on 19.11.2025. District Registrar, Charkhi Dadri vide order dated 18.11.2025 stayed all the activities proposed to be undertaken by the society and directed to maintain status quo. As District Registrar ordered to maintain *status quo*, the University left with no other option invoked its power under Clause 25 of Statute 42 of the University and constituted an adhoc committee. The petitioner was President of the Governing Board. As all the other office bearers submitted resignation and District Registrar stayed activities of the society, there was no option with the University expect to constitute an adhoc committee.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that managing committee of the college is different from governing body of the society. The District Registrar has stayed proceedings of governing body of the society and there is no stay qua proceedings of managing committee i.e. governing body of the college. There are two staff representatives and one nominee of the University in the governing body of the College.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-university submits that as per his instructions governing body of college and society is same, thus, in



view of interim orders of District Registrar functioning of college has come to a stand still.

5. From the perusal of record and submissions of both sides, it is evident that governing body of the society has been constituted in terms of Haryana Registration and Regulation of Societies Act, 2012 (for short '2012 Act') whereas governing body of the college has been constituted in terms of regulations framed by university. The composition of governing body of the society under 2012 Act is different from composition of governing body constituted under regulations of the university.

6. On being pointed out, order dated 18.11.2025 passed by District Registrar whereby District Registrar has stayed all the activities of the society, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh at an appropriate stage. He submits that society's appeal before Registrar General may be ordered to be adjudicated within three weeks from today.

7. Learned State counsel assures the Court that Registrar General would adjudicate petitioner's appeal within three weeks from today.

8. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty. The Registrar General would adjudicate petitioner's/society's appeal within three weeks from today.

9. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

27.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No