

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

2026:PHHC:008285



111

CRM-M-72145-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 21.01.2026

Date of uploading: 21.01.2026

Karandeep Singh @ Lala

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Ms. Saroj Kumari, Advocate for
Mr. Vinod Kumar Kaushal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Adhiraj Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.187 dated 14.10.2024 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 3(5) of BNS 2023 (Sections 117(1), 118(2) of BNS 2023 added later on), at Police Station Beas, District Amritsar Rural, Amritsar.

2. The case set up in the FIR in question (as set out by the petitioner in the present petition) is as follows:-

“Statement of Gurmej Singh son of Tota Singh, resident of Patti Khuhi Wehra, Sathaial aged about 45 years, Mobile No. 90449-35964. I would like to state that I am resident of above noted address and I am working as labourer. We are seven brothers and all are married and living separately. I have two sons namely Vishaldeep Singh aged about 20 years and Arshdeep Singh aged about 16 years and both are working as labourer.

On 04.10.2024 at around 7.00PM, I and my wife Ranjit Kaur were coming to home after doing labour work in the village and when I and my wife reached near Gurudwara Baba JiwanSingh then Harbans Singh son of Laddu Bhalwan empty hands, Akashdeep Singh son of Harbans Singh armed with sword and Akashdeep Singh son of Bittu armed with Baseball, Karandeep Singh@ Lala son of Kashmir Singh @ Nano armed with Datar, all residents of village Sathiala were already standing there and on looking us, Harbans Singh has raised Lalkara that catch them and give them taste for quarrel with us. Akashdeep Singh has given blow of his sword to me, I raise d my left arm to save me, then said blow hit on my bicep and Akashdeep Singh again gave blow of sword to me which hit on my left side arm, Karandeep Singh@ alias Lala son of Kashmir Singh gave blow of Datar to me which hit on my right side arm, I fell down on the ground, then Akashdeep Singh has given blow of baseball from its front side and when my wife came to save me then they also altercated to her, then I and my wife have raised noise "Mardita Mardita" then all the said persons ran away from the spot along with their respective weapons. My wife and my son of Arshdeep Singh have arranged vehicle and got me admitted in Civil Hospital Baba Bakala Sahib, where the doctors have referred me on 10.10.2024 to Guru Nanak Dev Hospital, Amritsar, where I am under treatment. The doctor has issued MLR regarding my injuries, which is presented before you. The motive behind the grudge is that some time ago, quarrel of my sons was occurred to the accused persons, in which regard the mutual compromise was affected between us with the intervention of respectables. Akashdeep Singh, Arshdeep Singh, Harbans Singh, Karandeep Singh above said have done injustice by causing injuries to me. Legal action be taken against them and justice be providd to me. I have got my statement, same is correct. LTI of Gurmej Singh, supported by sd/- Ranjit Kaur, attested by Balwinder Singh ASI, Incharge, Police Post Sathaial, dated 14.10.2024."

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in custody since 29.08.2025. Learned counsel for the

petitioner further submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question. Learned counsel has iterated that *assuming arguendo*, the prosecution version available at this juncture is taken to be correct, the petitioner is attributed injury of right hand with *datar*. Learned counsel has further iterated that similarly placed co-accused namely Akashdeep Singh already stands granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 05.03.2025 passed in CRM-M-11345-2025 (Annexure P-7). Thus, regular bail is prayed for.

4. Learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the present petition by arguing that the allegations raised are serious in nature and, hence, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of regular bail. Learned State counsel seeks to place on record custody certificate dated 20.01.2026 in Court, which is taken on record.

5. I have heard counsel for the parties and have gone through the available records of the case.

6. The petitioner was arrested on 29.08.2025, whereinafter investigation was carried out and challan was presented on 31.12.2025. Total 11 witnesses have been cited, out of which only has been examined till date. It is further not in dispute that conclusion of trial will take long time. The rival contentions of the learned counsel for the parties; as to the whether the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR, shall be gone into during the course of trial. This Court does not deem it appropriate to delve deep into these rival contentions, at this stage lest it may prejudice the rights of either of the parties. Nothing tangible has been brought forward to indicate the likelihood of the petitioner absconding

from the process of justice or interfering with the remaining prosecution evidence.

As per the custody certificate dated 20.01.2026 filed by the learned State counsel, the petitioner has suffered incarceration for more than 4 months & 20 days and is stated to be involved in one more FIR. However, this factum cannot be a ground sufficient by itself, to decline the concession of regular bail to the petitioner in the FIR in question when a case is made out for grant of regular bail *qua* the FIR in question by ratiocinating upon the facts/circumstances of the said FIR. Reliance in this regard can be placed upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Maulana Mohd. Amir Rashadi v. State of U.P. and another, 2012 (1) RCR (Criminal) 586***; a Division Bench judgment of the Hon'ble Calcutta High Court in case of ***Sridhar Das v. State, 1998 (2) RCR (Criminal) 477*** & judgments of this Court in ***CRM-M No.38822-2022*** titled as ***Akhilesh Singh v. State of Haryana***, decided on 29.11.2021, and ***Balraj v. State of Haryana, 1998 (3) RCR (Criminal) 191***.

Suffice to say, the further detention of the petitioner in custody is not required in the facts and circumstances of the case.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate. However, in addition to conditions that may be imposed by the concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate, the petitioner shall remain bound by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner shall not mis-use the liberty granted.
- (ii) The petitioner shall not tamper with any evidence, oral or documentary, during the trial.

- (iii) The petitioner shall not absent himself on any date before the trial.
 - (iv) The petitioner shall not commit any offence while on bail.
 - (v) The petitioner shall deposit his passport, if any, with the trial Court.
 - (vi) The petitioner shall give his cell phone number to the Investigating Officer/SHO of concerned Police Station and shall not change his cell-phone number without prior permission of the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate.
 - (vii) The petitioner shall not in any manner try to delay the trial.
8. In case of breach of any of the aforesaid conditions and those which may be imposed by concerned CJM/Duty Magistrate as directed hereinabove or upon showing any other sufficient cause, the State/complainant shall be at liberty to move cancellation of bail of the petitioner.
9. Ordered accordingly.
10. Nothing said hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
11. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

January 21, 2026
Naveen

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No