



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-72482-2025

TAJINDER SINGH @ PALA

...PETITIONER

V/s

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

Date of decision: 09.02.2026

Date of uploading: 09.02.2026

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Varishketu, Advocate for
Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hemant Aggarwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Gautam Dutt, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jasmeet Singh Kang, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 149 dated 16.07.2025, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 55 & 61(2) of the BNS, 2023 (Sections 25, 54 & 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 added later on), at Police Station Sadar, Ludhiana.

2. On 22.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending his arrest in FIR No.149 dated 16.07.2025, registered for offences punishable under Sections 55 & 61(2) of the BNS, 2023 (Sections 25, 54 & 59 of the Arms Act, 1959 added later on), at Police Station Sadar, Ludhiana; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.



Inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated into the FIR in question, assuming arguendo, the prosecution version is taken to be correct, the role ascribed to the petitioner is only that of doing reeve, similarly place co-accused has been granted concession of anticipatory bail by this Court on 12.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-55954-2025, & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.

Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance service of copy of petition, Mr. Adhiraj Singh Thind, AAG Punjab appears and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 – State of Punjab.

Put up on 21.01.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 26.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. He shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner has joined investigation but his further custodial interrogation is required for effecting investigation of the FIR in question.

4. Learned Senior counsel for the complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that the allegations raised against the petitioner are direct/serious in nature and hence he ought not to be extended the concession of anticipatory bail. He has further iterated that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all the likelihood that he may interfere with the investigation as also intimidate the investigation/witnesses. Learned Senior counsel for the complainant has further submitted that the petitioner is also involved in other FIR(s).

5. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record; especially keeping in view the factum of the petitioner



having joined investigation & cooperated therein and his custodial interrogation being sought only for effecting investigation of the FIR in question; this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 22.12.2025.

6. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and the order dated 22.12.2025, granting anticipatory bail to the petitioner is hereby made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS..

7. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.

8. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

9. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

09.02.2026
jatin

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No