



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

326

CRM-M-72125-2025  
Decided on : 11.02.2026

Daljit Singh . . . Petitioner(s)  
Versus  
State of UT Chandigarh and another . . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Kulwinder Bhargav, Advocate and  
Mr. Mandeep Kumar, Advocate, for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Adhiraj Toor, Advocate and  
Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate for  
Mr. J.S. Toor, Addl. PP, UT Chandigarh.

Mr. Gurseerat Singh, Advocate  
for respondent No.2 – Complainant.  
\*\*\*\*\*

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), for grant of regular bail to the petitioner, during the pendency of trial, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed here-under:-

| Name of<br>Petitioner(s) | FIR<br>No. | Date       | Section(s)                                           | Police<br>Station | District      |
|--------------------------|------------|------------|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| Daljit Singh             | 343        | 16.11.2011 | 420, 120-B of IPC and<br>S24 of Emigration Act, 1983 | Sector 11         | UT Chandigarh |

2. At this stage, Mr. Gurseerat Singh, Advocate, puts in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 – complainant and files his Power of Attorney in Court today, which is taken on record. Office to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Primarily, it is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that all the offences alleged in the present case are triable by the Court of the



learned Magistrate. It is further submitted that the entire dispute amount in the present case has already been paid back to respondent No.2—complainant. In order to verify the said fact, vide order dated 03.02.2026, proceedings in the present case were adjourned to 10.02.2026. However, the matter could not reach for hearing on the said date and, therefore, same was adjourned 'By Order' to 11.02.2026, i.e., for today.

4. For reference, order dated 03.02.2026, is reproduced here-under:-

**"CRM-3671-2026"**

1. *Present application has been moved by the applicant/petitioner seeking preponement of the date of hearing in the main case i.e. CRM-M-72125-2025, which is fixed for 07.03.2026.*
2. *Learned counsel for the applicant/petitioner submits that applicant/petitioner is in custody since August, 2025. It is further submitted that dispute between the parties, i.e. petitioner and respondent No.2, has now been resolved. In view thereof, it is prayed that plea for release on bail be considered, by preponing the date of hearing in the main petition.*
3. *Notice in the application.*
4. *On advance notice, Mr. J.S. Toor, Senior Advocate, with Mr. B.S. Rana, Advocate, puts an appearance on behalf of the respondent/UT, Chandigarh, and raises no serious objection, if prayer made in the present application, is allowed.*
5. *Considering the averments mentioned in the application, prayer made therein is allowed. Accordingly, date of hearing in the main petition is ordered to be preponed from 07.03.2026, to 03.02.2026, i.e. today itself.*
6. *CM stands disposed of.*

**CRM-M-72125-2025**

1. *Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the amount involved in the present dispute is Rs.3,50,000/- and, as per instructions received, a demand draft in the sum of Rs.3,50,000/- was handed over to respondent No.2 before learned Trial Court yesterday, i.e. on 02.02.2026*



2. *Learned State counsel has filed a custody certificate dated 02.02.2026 in Court today, which is taken on record.*

3. *Since the aforesaid aspect regarding payment needs to be verified from respondent No.2, present petition is adjourned for 10.02.2026.*

4. *Let respondent No.2 be served through dasti process for the next date of hearing.”*

5. Today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2–complainant as well as learned counsel appearing for UT Chandigarh, have endorsed the factum of receipt of the full and final amount under dispute. Learned counsel for respondent No.2–complainant has clearly submitted that respondent No.2 has no objection if, the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail in the present case.

6. In view of above and keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances, this Court deems it a fit case for grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, prayer made in the present petition is **allowed**. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

8. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

9. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on



the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

10. Petition stands **disposed of**.

Misc. application(s), if any, also stand disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE

February 11, 2026  
*J.Ram*

*Whether speaking/reasoned:*    *Yes/No*  
*Whether Reportable:*            *Yes/No*