



CRM-M-72010 of 2025 (O & M)

::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

(250)

CRM-M-72010 of 2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 09.04.2026

Gaurav and anr.

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

The State of Haryana and ors.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDIPresent: Mr. Ashwani Kumar Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Geeta Rani, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate,
for the respondents No.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No. 280 dated 23.07.2025 under Sections 110, 115, 126, 351(3) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonapat, Haryana and final report dated 02.09.2025 filed under Sections 110, 115(2), 126(2), 3(5) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 03.12.2025 (Annexure P-1).

The reply dated 20.03.2026 filed on behalf of the respondent No.1-State is taken on record.

Vide order dated 19.12.2025 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 19.12.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-1).

In terms of the order dated 19.12.2025 passed by this Court,

the parties have appeared before the court of Additional Sessions Judge,



CRM-M-72010 of 2025 (O & M)

::2::

Sonepat and as per the report dated 27.01.2026 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the Additional Sessions Judge, Sonepat, accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No. 280 dated 23.07.2025 under Sections 110, 115, 126, 351(3) and 3(5) of BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Ganaur, District Sonepat, Haryana and final report dated 02.09.2025 filed under Sections 110, 115(2), 126(2), 3(5) & 351(3) of BNS, 2023 and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner(s) herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 09, 2026
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No