



CRM-M-72194-2025

1

150 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 30.03.2026**CRM-M-72194-2025****SATENDER RAWAT****... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF HARYANA****...RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Pragyat Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Senior DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate for the complainant.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present petitions under Section 483 BNSS is for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No.96 dated 04.05.2025 registered under Sections 103(1), 3(5), 61(2) of BNS at Police Station Mundkati, District Palwal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that so far as the present petitioner is concerned, no role has been attributed to him in that occurrence. The allegation that he provided a tractor to the main accused, namely Kuldeep S/o Netram is not substantiated by any evidence. As the petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2025 but only 01 of the 28 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon, and therefore, he be granted the concession of regular bail.



CRM-M-72194-2025

2

3. The learned counsel for the State as well as complainant contend that the petitioner facilitated the commission of the offence inasmuch as he provided the tractor to the main accused and also facilitated the get away of the said accused on a motorcycle which stands recovered from the petitioner. Therefore, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail. He however concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2025 and that only 01 of the 28 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. The culpability of the petitioner, if any, with the aid of Section 34 IPC (Section 3(5) BNS) shall be examined during the course of the trial. The petitioner is in custody since 27.05.2025 but only 01 of the 28 prosecution witnesses has been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioners is not required.

6. Thus, without commenting upon the merits of the case, the present petitions are allowed and the petitioner, namely, Satender Rawat son of Hardev is ordered to be released on bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

30.03.2026

kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No
Whether reportable:- Yes/No