



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-37998-2025

Date of decision: 06.04.2026

Pardeep

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Anshumaan Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupender Singh, Addl. A.G., Haryana.


Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner, by filing the instant writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, assails the expulsion order dated 19.11.2025 (Annexure P-18), passed by the Assistant Registrar (R&S)-respondent No.2 of Maharshi Dayanand University, Rohtak (MDU)-respondent no.3.

2. On 19.12.2025, though learned counsel for the petitioner, made every possible attempt to assail the order (supra) on merits, but that yielded no fruit. Thereafter, he submitted that the petitioner would file an affidavit with the authority concerned, that in future he shall not indulge, directly or indirectly, in any illegal, unlawful, violent, disruptive activities, whatsoever. Further, the petitioner shall not participate in any protest, demonstration, gathering or any other activities, and shall not make any attempt to disturb the academic atmosphere of respondent-University, rather, he shall quietly adhere to the discipline of the university to pursue his studies.

3. Consequently, the requisite affidavit was supplied to the learned counsel for the respondent-University on the same day, and a



copy thereof, was retained on record as Mark ‘A’. Thereupon, respondents No.2 and 3, were directed to re-visit the matter, in the light of the affidavit (supra), and take a decision regarding the expulsion of the petitioner, which shall also be apprised to this Court.

4. Thereafter, on the next date of hearing, i.e. 24.03.2026, learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3, informed this Court that despite submitting an undertaking, in pursuance to the aforesaid order, the petitioner continued to indulge in unwarranted activities.

5. Today, he has produced a copy of the order dated 03.02.2026, passed by the Registrar of the respondent-University, which is taken on record as Mark ‘B’. He submits that, as per the order (supra), the petitioner is no more a student of the respondent-University, therefore, no cause of action survives. Further, the order speaks volumes about the misconduct of the petitioner, and despite affording repeated opportunities, he did not mend his ways, thereby vitiating the peaceful atmosphere of the University. In such circumstances, the authorities were constrained to debar him from taking admission in any academic program of the University.

6. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition, with liberty to question the validity of the order dated 03.02.2026.

7. **Dismissed as withdrawn**, with the liberty, as prayed for.

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

06.04.2026
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No