



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCP-6241-2025(O&M)

Date of decision : 07.04.2026

Satyawati and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Saket Kumar and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Sahil Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Raj Partap Singh Brar, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Sections 11 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondents for disobeying the order dated 12.10.2023 passed by the Division Bench of this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that in pursuance of the direction given by the Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 12.10.2023, out of thirteen persons, encroachments made by eight persons have been removed, five persons have removed encroachments in the presence of police personnel and three have removed on their own. It is submitted that from the remaining five, two persons have filed civil suits and with respect to other three persons, they have made



claim for regularization of structure as per policy of government on the ground that the construction was raised prior to 31.03.2004

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that even with respect to eight persons for whom it is the stand of the respondents that encroachments have been removed, actually on the spot the encroachments have not been removed. Learned counsel for the petitioners has, however, fairly submitted that since the same is a disputed question of fact, he seeks to withdraw the present petition with liberty to institute an appropriate proceedings including proceedings under Section 7 of the Haryana Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, which even entitles the Panchayat and inhabitants of the village to institute proceedings.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of any such application which the petitioners wish to file and in case any such application is filed, the same would be considered independently, in accordance with law.

6. Pending application, if any, stands disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

April 07, 2026.

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No