



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

277

CRM-M-72234-2025

Date of Decision: 29.01.2026

VIKRAMJEET SINGH ARORA @ VIKRAMJEET SINGH

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

....Respondents(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present : Mr. Krishan Kumar Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vaneesh Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S. Sandhu, Advocate for respondent no.2

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition is for quashing of the FIR No.88 dated 03.05.2018, under Sections 406, 420, 498-A, 506, 511 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar, and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 15.12.2025 (Annexure P-3).

2. Keeping in view the fact that the parties entered into a compromise, this Court vide order dated 22.12.2025 directed the parties to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court for getting their statements recorded in that regard. Pursuant thereto, a report dated 22.01.2026 has been received from the Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Kharar, stating that the compromise arrived at between the parties is voluntary and the same is without any pressure, coercion or undue influence.



3. In pursuance of the order dated 10.12.2025 (Annexure P-2) in CRM-M-57896-2025 and CRM-M-64023-2025, the petitioner has submitted a demand draft of Rs.2.5 lakhs in favour of the respondent-wife. Accordingly, all the conditions of the settlement arrived at between the parties stand duly complied with. Learned counsel for both the parties are *ad idem* that all the cases have been withdrawn and that the last installment of Rs.2.5 lakhs be released to the respondent-wife. The demand draft of Rs.2.5 lakhs be released to the respondent-wife against proper receipt, and a copy of the demand draft be kept on record.

4. Learned State counsel and learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that they have no objection to quashing of the FIR on that basis.

5. Perusal of the aforesaid report establishes that the parties have amicably settled their dispute, and continuance of criminal prosecution in such a situation will be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak. The power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised in such matters. It has been held by Supreme Court of India in cases **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another 2012(10) SCC 303** and **Narinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another 2014(6) SCC 406** that criminal cases having overwhelmingly civil character, particularly those arising out of commercial transactions or matrimonial relationships or family disputes, should be quashed when the parties have resolved their disputes among themselves in a *bona fide* manner.

6. Consequently, this petition is allowed. FIR No.88 dated



03.05.2018, under Sections 406, 420, 498-A, 506, 511 of IPC, registered at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed qua the petitioner(s), subject to payment of cost of Rs.15,000/- to be deposited by the petitioner and Rs.10,000/- to be deposited by respondent No.2, within one month from today in the ***“Punjab and Haryana Court Bar Clerks Association”***.

29.01.2026
Deepak Patwal

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|----------------------------------|---------------|
| 1. | <i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |
| 2. | <i>Whether reportable</i> | <i>Yes/No</i> |