



-1-

CRM-M-71747-2025

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

102

CRM-M-71747-2025

Date of Decision: 20.01.2026

JASWINDER SINGH @ GURLAL SINGH @ GALLO**... PETITIONER****VERSUS****STATE OF PUNJAB****... RESPONDENT****CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S.GREWAL**

Present:- Mr. H.S.Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

H.S. Grewal, J.(Oral)

1. This petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 119 dated 03.12.2025 under Sections 125, 351(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS and Sections 25,27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Tarsikka, Amritsar Rural, District Amritsar Sahib, Punjab.

2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner, along with his co-accused, trespassed into the house of the complainant while armed with a pistol and attempted to kidnap the complainant's minor grandson at gunpoint.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not named in the FIR and that no injury has been attributed to him. It is contended that the petitioner is not directly involved in the alleged commission of the offence. Learned counsel further submits that the allegations against the petitioner have arisen solely due to a matrimonial dispute between the

**CRM-M-71747-2025**

complainant's daughter and the co-accused, namely Heera Singh. It is also submitted that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the investigation.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposes the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the weapon used by the petitioner in the offence is yet to be recovered so, he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court finds that the petitioner is alleged to have trespassed into the house of the complainant while armed with a pistol and attempted to kidnap the minor grandson of the complainant. The allegation of firing a gunshot with the intention of creating terror further aggravates the gravity of the offence. The unlawful possession and misuse of a firearm constitutes a grave offence and has serious repercussions on public safety and law and order. The weapon of offence is yet to be recovered from the petitioner and, for that purpose, his custodial interrogation is necessary to effect recovery and grant of anticipatory bail at this stage would hamper a fair and effective investigation.

8. In view of the nature and seriousness of the allegations, the manner in which the offence is alleged to have been committed, and the



CRM-M-71747-2025

requirement of custodial interrogation, this Court does not find it to be a fit case for granting the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

20.01.2026

renu

(H.S.GREWAL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>