

2026:PHHC:056594



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

CRWP-13810-2026

Date of Decision: 10.04.2026

MONIKA CHABBA AND ANOTHER

... Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI.

Present: Mr. Manish Giri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents to discharge their statutory and constitutional duties in accordance with law against the illegal and highhanded conduct of the police officials of Police Station Phase-11, SAS Nagar (Mohali).

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that an FIR NO.150 dated 19.11.2025 under Sections 137(2), 115(2) and 351(2) of the BNS, 2023 came to be registered against the petitioners and all the offences were bailable in nature. Despite the same, the police officials of Police Station Phase – 11, SAS Nagar (Mohali) forcibly entered the residence of the petitioners and arrested them even though the offences were bailable in nature. Infact, two minor children aged about 1½ years and 5 years respectively were also taken

away. He, therefore, prays that appropriate directions be issued to the official respondents-police officials and they be dealt with in accordance with law.

The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, while referring to the reply dated 10.02.2026 filed by way of an affidavit of Harsimran Singh Bal, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-2 S.A.S. Nagar (Mohali) contends that during the course of investigation in the aforementioned FIR, the police party did indeed go to the house of the petitioners. However, the petitioners were requested to accompany the police party to the police station in view of the FIR registered against them. The said fact has been duly recorded in the CCTV footage installed outside the house of the petitioners. As the children were of the age of 1½ year and 05 years respectively, they could not have been left alone because of which they too were to accompany the petitioners to the police station. The petitioners went to the police station, and they were released on bail on furnishing adequate bail/surety bonds. The petitioner no.2 could not arrange for a surety, therefore, she was released on bail subject to furnishing personal bonds. He thus prays for dismissal of the present petition.

I have heard the learned counsel for the respective parties meticulously.

Though it is recorded in the order dated 02.04.2026 that action is to be taken against the respondent No.5 ASI Bhagat Ram and Lady Constable Rupinder Kaur, the CCTV footage reveals that though some force has been used by the police officials to enter the premises of the petitioners, later, the said police officials accompanied the petitioners alongwith the minor children to the police station, where they were duly released on bail as mention above.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition by this Court at this stage.

Disposed of accordingly.

APRIL 10, 2026.

Rajender

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*

Whether reportable : *Yes/No*