



110

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-6240-2025

Date of decision: 30.03.2026

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ravi Kumar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. A.D.S. Jattana, Advocate and
Mr. M.S. Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate and
Mr. Manpreet Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Contempt Petition filed under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondents for their willful and intentional disobedience of the order dated 04.09.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by the Division Bench of this Court.

2. On 19.12.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

*“Present: Mr.ADS Jattana, Advocate (through V.C.) and
Mr.Mandeep Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

Mr. Sanjiv Ghai, Advocate and

Mr.Manpreet Singh, Advocate for the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents has submitted that in the present case, the demolition drive was carried out on 26.06.2023. It is submitted that during the pendency of the writ petition filed by the petitioner, the petitioner had come to the store of the Public Works Department and the articles which were there were taken by him on 09.05.2025 and a receipt regarding the same has been signed by the petitioner, which fact has not been



disclosed in the present petition. It is further submitted that there is nothing in the petition to show that the articles which the petitioner is alleging in the legal notice (Annexure P-2) to be with the respondents are lying with the respondents and even a perusal of the order dated 04.09.2025 would show that the expression used by the Division Bench is “in case any article is found to be in possession of respondent no.2”. It is submitted that the respondents are not in possession of any article belonging to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the respondents has further submitted that he would file reply detailing the abovesaid facts.

Reply, if any, be filed on or before the next date of hearing with an advance copy to learned counsel for the petitioners.

Adjourned to 20.01.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition be disposed of but liberty be granted to the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy in accordance with law with respect to the grievance of the petitioner which is still left.

4. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of with liberty aforesaid.

5. In case, any proceedings are initiated by the petitioner as proposed then it would be open to both the parties to raise all the pleas in accordance with law.

6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of in view of the abovesaid order.

30.03.2026

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No