



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

112

CRM-M-71011-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.03.2026

Sarista

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Manoj R. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.316 dated 27.11.2025, registered under Section 21-B/61/85 of NDPS Act (29 of NDPS Act added later on), at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur.

2. On 09.01.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Petitioner, who is accused in case FIR No.316 dated 27.11.2025 registered against her, for commission of offences punishable under Sections 21-B,61,85 of NDPS Act (Section 29 of NDPS Act added later on) at Police Station Dinanagar, District Gurdaspur, has filed the instant petition, praying for grant of pre-arrest bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case as his name was nominated on the basis of the disclosure statement of co-accused, who was allegedly caught red handed at the site keeping in his illegal possession 09 grams of heroin (Marginally higher than the small quantity). Learned counsel further contends that the said disclosure statement, in the absence of recovery of any other incriminating material, is not admissible in evidence. Learned counsel further submits that petitioner is willing to join the investigation as and when called for by the I.O.

Notice of motion for 11.03.2026.

Meanwhile, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petitioners are hereby directed to join

investigation within seven days from today and cooperate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Baldev affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 09.01.2026 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) BNSS.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.03.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No