



CRM-M-71718-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

224

CRM-M-71718-2025 (O & M)
Date of decision: 15.01.2026

MISSAL SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Bhatnagar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Arpita Walia, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS, 2023, is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0154 dated 13.11.2025, registered under Sections 115(2), 118(1), 126(2), 3(5), 238 BNS, at Police Station Division-C, District Police Commissionerate Amritsar.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for about 2 months. There is delay of 8 days in lodging the FIR. Co-accused Baldev Raj @ Deba who has allegedly caused injury on the head, has since been granted bail by this Court, vide order dated 14.01.2026, however, injury attributed to the petitioner is on the non-vital part. Compromise has been arrived at between the parties. He is not involved in any other case.

CRM-M-71718-2025

3. The custody certificate dated 14.01.2026, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 1 month and 29 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of participated in the offence and caused injury. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions made; the petitioner being not involved in any other case and the co-accused having been enlarged on bail.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant affirms the factum of compromise and has no objection to grant of bail.

6. Heard.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 1 month and 29 days; not involved in any other case; co-accused is on bail and further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

8. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.

CRM-M-71718-2025

- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

9. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

10. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

15.01.2026

parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE