



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

213

COCP-6226-2025

DATE OF DECISION: 02.07.2026

DR. KULDIP SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

SH. KUMAR RAHUL, IAS

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Mohit Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravinder Singh Rawal, AAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

By way of this petition filed under Section 12 read with Section 2-A of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, petitioner prays for initiating contempt proceedings against the respondent for violating the order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No.14909 of 2025, whereby a direction was issued to decide representation dated 10.12.2024 of the petitioner within a period of six months after taking note of judgments in *Medical Council of India Vs. Union of India etc., 2009(4) SLR 603* and *Dr. Parveen Parkash Vs. State of Punjab and another.*

2. As per the reply filed by the respondent, the aforesaid order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) has already been complied with vide order dated 04.02.2026 by deciding the representation of the petitioner. The order dated 04.02.2026 has also been placed on record as Annexure R-1.

3. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that before passing the order dated 04.02.2026, the respondent had already passed an order on 05.01.2026, the copy of which has already been placed on record. Learned counsel



further submits that at that time, no opportunity of hearing was granted to him and that while passing order dated 04.02.2026, only one paragraph has been added that the opportunity of hearing has been provided.

4. A perusal of the reply would reveal that opportunity of hearing was duly provided to the petitioner on 21.01.2026. Learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that opportunity of hearing was provided to petitioner on 21.01.2026. It is thus clear that order dated 22.05.2025 (Annexure P-1) already stands complied with. Therefore, no case is made out for continuing the present contempt petition. The same stands disposed of accordingly.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

02.07.2026
sapna adhikari

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	No