



129

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-71885-2025
DECIDED ON: 27.02.2026**

SANDEEP**.....PETITIONER**

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA**.....RESPONDENT****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. Present 4th petition has been filed by the petitioner, seeking grant of regular bail, in case, FIR No.281, dated 08.08.2023, under Sections 120-B, 195-A, 302, 201, 34 of IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Sadar Rohtak, District Rohtak.

2. 1st bail petition filed by the petitioner-Sandeep, was dismissed, vide order dated 03.12.2024 passed in CRM-M-59551-2024 (Annexure P-8), taking into consideration his involvement in 13 other criminal cases.

3. 2nd bail petition filed by the petitioner was dismissed as withdrawn, vide order dated 28.05.2025 passed in CRM-M-28628-2025 (Annexure P-9). 3rd bail petition was also dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty to file a fresh petition with better particulars, vide order dated 01.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-59470-2025 (Annexure P-10).

Hence, present petition has been filed 4th time by the petitioner.

4. Occurrence in the present case took place on 08.08.2023 at about 8:15 A.M., and FIR was registered on the same day regarding the death of Mohit, who was allegedly shot dead by the accused. Petitioner -

Sandeep was named as one of the accused in the FIR. On the same day, after viewing the CCTV footage, complainant Kuldeep (uncle of the deceased) also got recorded his supplementary statement, stating that the shooting was carried out by Pankaj and his two associates, one of whom was identified as Sandeep (present petitioner).

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that co-accused Kureram @ Rohit has already been granted bail, vide order dated 13.01.2026 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Rohtak, who as per the disclosure statement dated 14.08.2023 made by Pankaj, was identified as the third accused involved in the commission of the murder.

6. On the other hand, learned State counsel has filed status report in the Court today and the same is taken on record.

7. This Court has noticed that prosecution witness namely Kuldeep has supported the prosecution case. Moreover, the first bail petition filed by the petitioner had already been dismissed on merits by co-ordinate Bench of this Court by passing a detailed order, which took note of the allegations of a cold-blooded murder.

8. When the Court expressed its disinclination to grant bail to the petitioner, learned counsel for the petitioner sought permission to withdraw the present petition.

9. Ordered accordingly.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

27.02.2026

Lavisha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>