



101

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-70703-2025

Date of Decision :13.02.2026

M/s SAV Infosystems L.L.P

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Ms. Sandhya Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (ORAL)

The petition has been filed seeking directions to respondents no.2 to 4 not to harass and pressurise the petitioner at the behest of respondent no.7, who is Member of Legislative Assembly in the State of Punjab and daughter-in-law of respondent no.5, in relation to dispute of land owned and developed by it. Mr. Karan Deep Singh, who is authorised representative of the petitioner-Company, is unnecessarily being called to the police station to give in to the demands of respondent no.5.

2. Learned State counsel has referred to the status report filed by way of an affidavit dated 22.01.2026, to contend that the reason for calling the petitioner to the police station was a complaint dated 05.12.2025, Annexure R-1/T, received from respondent no.6, alleging as under:

...Builder, namely, Karandeep Singh has constructed flats adjoining to this land and after encroached about 800sq. yds land illegally, constructed wall thereon. Regarding which we have got done the demarcation of the land. From which it is clear that above Karandeep Singh has illegally constructed wall with the motive to encroach our land. On several



occasions, we tried to talk with Karandeep Singh at our own level but he has not given any satisfactory reply and as and when we go to this land then Karandeep Singh alongwith his bouncers extending threats and abusing us. On many occasions, the bouncers of Karandeep Singh extended threats to our workers to kill them. Due to which I and my family is living in danger to our life and property. Therefore, through this application it is requested that after taken action against above Karandeep Singh, justice may be deliver to us. I shall be very thankful to you.

Despite intimation given to the petitioner on 05.01.2026, 06.01.2026 and 07.01.2026, he failed to appear before respondent no.4.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner disputes the aforementioned facts and submits that the intimation for these dates was never received by the petitioner. She, however, submits that as and when the petitioner is called for an enquiry into the complaint, he shall appear before the concerned police official for the purpose.

4. In view of the statement, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents not to interfere in the civil dispute regarding alleged encroachment of land by the petitioner, and confine the enquiry, if any, only to the complaint received from respondent no.6 regarding threats to his life and liberty.

February 13, 2026

ps

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*