



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107/1

CWP-38686-2025

Date of Decision: 06.03.2026

DR. MEGHA AMBARDAR AND OTHERS

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Mr. Sarthak Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

The petition has been filed *inter alia* seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondents to regularise the petitioners' services and grant them all consequential benefits, i.e., seniority, pay fixation and arrears of salary, etc., along with interest at the rate of six per cent per annum from the date the benefits became due till realisation.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners will be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a fresh representation before the respondents raising the grievance as has been raised in this petition, and the same may be ordered to be decided within a specified period in view of the judgments passed by the Supreme Court in Civil Appeal nos.309 to 373 of 1992 titled *Karnataka State Private College Stop-Gap Lecturers Association v. State of Karnataka and others*; Civil Appeal no.14831 of 2024 titled *Jaggo v. Union of India and others*; and Civil Appeal

no.657 of 2026 titled *Bhola Nath v. State of Jharkhan and others*, and judgment passed by this Court in CWP-26899-2025 titled *Nishi and another v. Panjab University and others*. All relevant particulars of the applicants' service which entitle them to the claimed benefits will be mentioned therein.

3. Notice of motion.
4. Learned State counsel accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.1/State. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Jagbir Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of respondents no.2 and 3 and submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioners within four weeks from today, the same will be decided by respondent no.2/Registrar, Kurukshetra University, by passing a speaking order thereupon in accordance with law by taking into consideration the judgments in *Karnataka State Private College Stop-Gap Lecturers Association* , *Jaggo*, *Bhola Nath* and *Nishi* cases *ibid.*, within six months of receiving the representation.
5. In view of the statement made, learned counsel for the petitioners has no objection to the petition being disposed of in terms thereof.
6. Ordered accordingly.
7. In case the order is not passed within the stipulated period, the officer concerned shall pay costs of ₹50,000 to the petitioners.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

06.03.2026
Ad

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No