

245

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

ESA-14-2026
Date of decision: 20.03.2026

AARASTOON

....Petitioner

Versus

SARYAM AND ANOTHER

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: None.

HARKESH MANUJA , J (Oral):

1. The aforesaid petition was instituted through e-filing by Sh. Arjun Atri, Advocate, on 15.10.2025. Upon scrutiny, the Registry raised certain objections, which remained unattended and were never removed by the learned counsel concerned.
2. In terms of Rule 9, Chapter 1, Part-A, Volume V of the Punjab and Haryana High Court Rules and Orders, any petition on which objections are raised by the Registry is required to be taken back by the counsel/party concerned for removal of objections and re-filing within the prescribed period. In the present case, the file was never taken back for re- filing despite repeated notes published by the Registry from time to time.
3. When the matter was listed before this Court, the case was called out twice; however, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. This conduct reflects that the petitioner and/or learned counsel have lost interest in prosecuting the matter and do not intend to pursue the petition further.
4. In view of the aforesaid factual matrix, nothing survives for adjudication in the present (in-complete) case. Consequently, the same is disposed accordingly.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

20.03.2026
monika

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes /No
2. Whether reportable : Yes /No