



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

108

CWP-37448-2025

Date of Decision: 30.04.2026

DEEP SINGH ALIAS BABBY

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. P.S. Hundal, Senior Advocate assisted by
Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate,
Mr. Gursahib Singh Hundal, Advocate,
Ms. Arshpreet Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Surender Pant, Advocate for petitioner

Mr. Deepak Vashisht, DAG, Haryana

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents not to misuse their authority by calling the petitioner to police station time and again and detain without there being any case.

2. The petitioner was implicated in FIRs Nos. 103 & 104 both dated 05.04.2020, FIR No.152 dated 21.04.2020, FIR No.393 dated 08.11.2020, FIR No.409 dated 05.12.2020, FIR No.139 dated 21.03.2022, FIR No.34 dated 06.02.2025 and FIR No.346 dated 27.10.2025. All the FIRs were registered under NDPS Act. On 03.12.2025, three Police Officers in civil dress came to his address while he was away to his fields. On



05.12.2025, two Constables came to his home and took him away to Police Station Sadar, Mandi Dabwali.

3. Learned Senior Advocate submits that petitioner has been found innocent in all the FIRs and there was no recovery from him still Police is harassing him.

4. Learned State counsel assures the Court that Police authorities would proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law and he would not be harassed.

5. Learned Senior Advocate expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid statement.

6. In the wake of statement of both sides, the petition stands disposed of.

7. Pending Misc. application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

30.04.2026
SDK

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No