



CRM-M-70905-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

103

CRM-M-70905-2025

Sanjana Sharma

...Petitioner

V/s

State of Haryana

...Respondent

Date of decision: 12.02.2026**Date of Uploading : 12.02.2026****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL**

Present: Dr. Anmol Rattan Sidhu, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Shiv Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG Haryana.

Mr. S.S. Mor, Advocate for the complainant.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.254 dated 03.11.2025, under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 506 & 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bhiwani.

2. On 19.12.2025, the following order was passed:-

“Apprehending her arrest in FIR No.254 dated 03.11.2025 registered for offences punishable under Sections 420, 406, 467, 468, 506 & 120-B IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Bhiwani; the petitioner has preferred this petition under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking pre-arrest bail.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that the petitioner is a lady aged 51 years with clean antecedents, the allegations pertain to the year 2022, but the complaint was made in June, 2025 which led to the registration of the FIR in question dated 03.11.2025, no effective recovery is to be made from the petitioner & the petitioner is willing to join investigation and cooperate therein.



Notice of motion.

On the strength of advance notice; Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG, Haryana has entered appearance on behalf of the respondent- State of Haryana.

At this stage; Mr. Aditya Sharma, Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his power of attorney.

Adjourned to 27.01.2026.

The petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating Officer on 24.12.2025 at 11:00 A.M. in concerned Police Station and join investigation. In the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to her furnishing personal/surety bond(s) to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. As and when further called by Investigating Officer, the petitioner shall join the investigation. She shall abide by the condition(s) enumerated under Section 482(2) of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.”

3. Thereafter, on 27.01.2026, the following order was passed in this case:

“Mr. S.S. Mor. Advocate has entered appearance on behalf of the complainant and filed his power of attorney.

Learned State counsel (on instructions) has submitted that the petitioner had joined investigation on 19.12.2025 but is not cooperating therein.

The petitioner is directed to rejoin investigation before the concerned Investigating Officer in the concerned police station at 11:00 AM on 30.01.2206.

Learned counsel appearing for the complainant seeks and is permitted time to file reply, if so advised.

List on 09.02.2026.

Interim order to continue.”

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Devender, has stated that pursuant to the aforesaid order(s), the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.



5. Learned counsel appearing for complainant has vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by arguing that there are direct and serious allegations against the petitioner. Learned counsel has submitted that in case the petitioner is extended the concession of anticipatory bail, there is all likelihood that she may abscond from the process of justice as also interfere with the investigation/witness. Learned counsel has further submitted that co-accused are absconding and they belong to the family of the petitioner. Furthermore, the petitioner has repeatedly changing her address so as to avoid divulging her place of residence/whereabouts. Learned counsel has further submitted that the petitioner was actively involved in defrauding the FIR-complainant. On the strength of these submissions, the dismissal of the petition in hand is entreated for.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the rival parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in lieu of the allegation made; the petitioner having joined the investigation and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not sought for by the State, this Court is inclined to confirm the order dated 19.12.2025.

7. In view of the above, the interim order dated 19.12.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

8. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.



9. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
10. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

February 12, 2026
Ajay

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No