

2026:PHHC:020751



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

214

**CRM-M-70418-2025
Date of decision: 11.02.2026**

SAMUEL ALIAS SAMUAL

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, for the grant of regular bail in case bearing FIR No. 159 dated 16.08.2025, registered under Section(s) 21-B, 27-A, 29, 61, 85 of the NDPS Act, 1985 at Police Station Chheharta, District Amritsar.

2. As per the prosecution version, the petitioner was apprehended alongwith two other co-accused while travelling on a two-wheeler on the basis of suspicion and on checking, 80 grams of Heroin and Rs. 2500 of drug money was recovered from polythene bag stated to be in possession of the petitioner herein.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has clean antecedents and that the recovered quantity is non-commercial. The petitioner was arrested on 16.08.2025 and has already undergone an actual custody of nearly five months. Investigation in the case is pending and a challan is yet to be filed and as such conclusion of trial is likely to take a long time. It is submitted that the co-accused Simranjit Singh and Sukhraj have already been granted concession of regular bail vide order dated 06.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-61001-2025 and order dated 07.11.2025 passed in CRM-M-61374-2025 respectively.

4. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the period of custody as well as the clean antecedents of the petitioner.

5. Having heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respective parties and taking into consideration the clean antecedents of the petitioner, quantity of the recovered contraband being non-commercial, the period of custody already undergone by him, as well as the fact that the investigation in the case is yet not complete and conclusion of trial shall take a long time, I deem it appropriate to enlarge the petitioner on regular bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

6. The instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail bond/surety bond to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witnesses in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the Trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

FEBRUARY 11, 2026

Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No