



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.134

**CRM-M-70399-2025
Date of Decision:- 25.03.2026**

MOHIT

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present:- Mr. Ankur Lal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.131 dated 24.11.2025, under Sections 64(2)(m), 115(2), 351(3), 3(5), 308(2) and 61(2) of BNS, 2023 (subsequently added Sections 115(2) and 61(2) of BNS and deleted Section 115 of BNS), registered at Police Station Women Police Station, District Bhiwani, Haryana.

2. Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent No.2 and filed his Vakalatnama in Court today, which is taken on record. It is submitted that the things have turned around and the girl, who is also present in Court, is back with her parents but the recovery of 23 tolas of gold is yet to be effected.



3. Vide order dated 23.12.2025, this Court while granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail directed the petitioner to join investigation.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Meena, submits that although the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required but recovery of gold and money is yet to be effected. She has further disclosed that the petitioner has already disposed of approximately 26 grams of gold by selling it to a goldsmith.

5. In response, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, though he does not admit the said fact but the money, if any, got from sale of the said gold must have been spent jointly by the petitioner as well as by the daughter off the complainant during the course of their live-in relationship for which they had executed a formal agreement also governing their relationship.

6. The victim has also put in appearance in Court today and again turned her stance that she had stolen the gold and cash from her mother and her grand-mother and the same needs to be recovered.

7. All the issues with regard to the girl having stolen gold and or the cash are a matter of trial and shall be considered by the learned trial Court at an appropriate stage and therefore, all these issues are kept open, more so, in light of fact that victim/girl is changing her stand every now and then, and the trial Court shall proceed in accordance with law and evidence adduced before it.

8. In view of above, the petition is allowed. The order dated 23.12.2025 granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when



called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 482 BNSS.

9. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10. It is made clear that, in case, the petitioner is found involved in any such activity once again, the present concession granted shall automatically stand vacated.

11. However, it is made clear that this order shall not be construed as parity qua any other co-accused.

25.03.2026
Parul Verma

(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No