



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CRM-M-72772-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.03.2026

DAVINDER KUMAR

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Kashmir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.365, dated 27.12.2024, under Sections 306, 3(5) BNS, registered at Police Station City Hoshiarpur, District Hoshiarpur.

2. On 24.02.2026, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner is the employee of the complainant for the last more than 2 years, which can be verified from the biometric attendance. It is on account of he having demanded his due salary that he has been falsely implicated in this case, for which a complaint, Annexure P-2 had also been addressed to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur. Co-accused Deepak Kumar, who was also working with the complainant and implicated due to the aforesaid fact, has since been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 07.05.2025, Annexure P-4. The petitioner is not involved in any other case and is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

The petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 28.02.2026. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 11.03.2026.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the afore-mentioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated

with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Manjit Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. She also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 24.02.2026 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

11.03.2026

ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No