



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-70403-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 19.03.2026

KULWINDER SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Tajinder Pal Singh Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Adesh Pal Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.300 dated 24.11.2025 under Sections 109, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of the BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 01.12.2025 (Annexure P-3).

Reply dated 16.03.2026 has been filed by the State and the same is taken on record.

Vide order dated 15.12.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 15.12.2025 with regard to the compromise (Annexure P-3).

In terms of the order dated 15.12.2025 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the court of the Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Moonak and as per the report dated 02.01.2026 submitted to this

Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

As no injury attracting Section 109 of BNS has been caused, there is a little possibility of conviction being recorded under Section 109 of BNS.

In view of the aforesaid report of the Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Moonak accompanied by the joint statement of both the parties, the present FIR No.300 dated 24.11.2025 under Sections 109, 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 324(4), 191(3), 190 of the BNS, 2023 registered at Police Station Lehra, District Sangrur and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners herein.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

March 19, 2026

vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No