

2026:PHHC:061284



CRM-M-70090-2025 (O & M)

::1::

(109-2) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-70090-2025 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

Sukhpal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Mitul Singh Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in this second petition under Section 483 BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.4 dated 15.07.2022 under Sections 384, 153, 153-A, 120-B IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act and Sections 212, 216 IPC and Sections 3, 4, 5 of the Explosive Substances Act, 1908 added later on, registered at Police Station State Special Operation Cell, SAS Nagar.

2. The brief facts of the case are that SI Harminder Singh, SSOC, Mohali had received secret information that Arshdeep Singh alias Arsh Dala and Gurjant Singh alias Janta against whom a number of cases were pending, had gone abroad on fictitious passports and were indulging in illegal activities in connivance with the agents of the ISI (Inter-Services Intelligence of

2026:PHHC:061284



CRM-M-70090-2025 (O & M)

::2::

Pakistan). They had supplied a heavy quantity of arms and ammunition to their accomplices in India and were targeting the people for ransom with an intention to create communal tensions in Punjab, they alongwith the agents of the ISI were likely to target the leaders of religious associations and political parties thereby disturbing peace in the society. Accordingly, the instant FIR came to be registered.

During the course of investigation, secret information was received on 28.07.2022 by the investigating agency that Shahrukh Khan (since granted bail vide order dated 11.08.2023 passed in CRM-M-52819-2022) and one Sumit Kumar (since granted bail vide order dated 01.09.2023 passed in CRM-M-42141-2023) were constantly in touch with the abovementioned accused. Sumit Kumar and Shahrukh Khan had been promised a payment of Rs.8 lacs by Arsh Dalla and Gurjant Singh. On that date i.e. 28.07.2022, Sumit Kumar and Shahrukh Khan were roaming at the Airport road on a motorcycle bearing No.HR-79C-9707 and had come to collect ransom from some persons at the asking of Arsh Dalla. Accordingly, Sumit Kumar and Shahrukh Khan were nominated as accused in the present case and were arrested on 28.07.2022.

During search of Shahrukh Khan, one .315 bore loaded with one live cartridge alongwith two other live cartridges came to be recovered from him. Similarly, from Sumit Kumar one pistol .32 bore pistol having four live cartridges in it were recovered. During the investigation, Sumit Kumar and his Shahrukh Khan revealed that they had been in contact with Arsh Dalla and

2026:PHHC:061284



CRM-M-70090-2025 (O & M)

::3::

were to kill a person in Mohali for a sum of Rs.8. Thereafter, certain other persons were also to be targeted but they had been apprehended by the police.

During the course of further investigation, Jagatbir Singh @ Jagta, Dalvir Singh Dhira, Ravinder Singh @ Giana, Deepak Sharma, Sandeep, Vipin Jakhar and Sunny Dagar were nominated as accused in the instant case.

Deepak Sharma and Sunny Dagar disclosed the name of Gurpreet Singh alias Gopi son of Bhupinder Singh (since granted the concession of regular bail vide order dated 27.10.2025, Annexure P-6), Sukhpal (petitioner), Dimpy Lochab, Ankush and Parveen. They disclosed the names of Gurpreet Singh alias Gopi son of Jarnail Singh and Sagar.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has been named in the disclosure statement of one of the arrested accused which has no evidentiary value. As he is in custody since 17.08.2022 but only 03 of the 14 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail, moreso, when three co-accused have been granted the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the petitioner is a part of large gang indulging in anti-national activities. Therefore, the nature of the allegations levelled against him do not entitle him to the grant of bail. He, however, concedes that three co-accused, namely, Shahrukh Khan, Sumit Kumar and Gurpreet Singh alias Gopi son of

2026:PHHC:061284



CRM-M-70090-2025 (O & M)

::4::

Bhupinder Singh have been granted the concession of bail. He also concedes that the petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2022 and that only 03 of the 14 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and examined the record.

6. The veracity of the prosecution case against the petitioner and his co-accused shall be adjudicated upon during the course of trial. Admittedly, the petitioner is in custody since 17.08.2022 and only 03 of the 14 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. Three of his co-accused, namely, Shahrukh Khan, Sumit Kumar and Gurpreet Singh @ Gopi son of Bhupinder Singh have also been granted the concession of bail. In this view of the matter, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

7. Thus without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Sukhpal Singh is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned CJM/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

8. The petitioner shall appear before the police station concerned on the first Monday of every month till the conclusion of the trial and inform in writing each time that he is not involved in any other crime other than the case(s) referred to in the custody certificate dated 21.04.2026.

9. In addition, the petitioner (or anyone on his behalf) shall prepare an FDR in the sum of Rs.1,00,000/- and deposit the same with the Trial Court.

2026:PHHC:061284



CRM-M-70090-2025 (O & M)

::5::

The same would be liable to be forfeited as per law in case of the absence of the petitioner from trial without sufficient cause.

10. The petitioner shall deposit his Passport with the Trial Court immediately, if not already done so.

11. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

April 22, 2026
sukhpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No