



CRM-M-72114-2025

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRM-M-72114-2025
Decided on: 16.03.2026

Sunny Bakshi

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Aditya Dassaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sunny Bakshi, aged 38 years	336	10.09.2025	190, 191(2), 115(2), 324(4), 110, 351(2), 238 of BNS 2023	Taraori	Karnal

2. On 22.12.2025, following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Sunny Bakshi,	336	10.09.2025	190, 191(2),	Taraori	Karnal



aged 38 years			115(2), 324(4), 110, 351(2), 238 of BNS, 2023		
---------------	--	--	---	--	--

2. As per allegations, on 09.09.2025, at about 4:00-5:00 PM, when complainant – Paramjeet Singh, his brother-in-law Jagtir Singh and maternal cousin – Paramjeet Singh were sitting together at Punjabi Dhaba, GT Road, Tararori, accused, namely; (i) Naveen Malhotra, (ii) Sunny Bakshi, (iii) Gagandeep s/o Laddi Satta and 12-13 other unidentified youth, arrived in swift car HR20-P-5551 and Glanza car HR05-BE-8371 and third vehicle Thar attacked upon them with deadly weapons.

Maternal cousin Paramjeet Singh tried to intervene, but caused severe injuries. Complainant Paramjeet Singh also suffered deep injuries on left arm and near the eye. Damage was caused to the articles lying at Dhaba also, apart breaking of the mobile phone of the complainant.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that no specific role has been attributed to any particular person and even three of the four named accused, have already been released on bail. Thus, petitioner – Sunny Bakshi, prays for grant of anticipatory bail.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and submits that as per information received by him patient had been discharge from hospital on 15.09.2025 and as per NCCT and other relevant reports, injuries received by the injured/complainant – Paramjeet Singh, have been opined to be simple in nautre. However, he seeks some time to respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report.

6. Adjourned to 16.03.2026.



7. *In the meanwhile, the petitioners is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court. ”

3. Continuing his submissions, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance with the order dated 22.12.2025 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation and has extended full cooperation. He, therefore, prays for confirmation of the interim anticipatory bail order.

4. Learned State counsel has filed status report by way of affidavit of Mahabir Singh HPS, DSP Nilokheri, Karnal in Court today. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place with the paper-book.

Learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that though petitioner has joined the investigation, he has not cooperated. Neither passport nor any affidavit regarding non-possession of a passport has been submitted to the investigating agency. Moreover, *danda* allegedly

**CRM-M-72114-2025****4**

used by the petitioner in the commission of the crime has not been recovered from him. Therefore, he prays for petitioner's further custodial interrogation.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the paper-book.

6. Admittedly, in compliance with the directions issued by the this Court, petitioner has already joined the investigation.

The objection raised by the learned State counsel regarding non-recovery of the danda has also been considered. This Court is of the view that, as per settled law, an accused cannot be compelled or pressurized to produce evidence against himself. Moreover, it was incumbent upon the Investigating Officer, during the course of the investigation, particularly when the petitioners had already joined the investigation, to ascertain the truth. In case of any non-cooperation, the same can be recorded in the case diary so that its effect may be adjudicated during the course of trial.

Accordingly, the ad-interim bail order dated 22.12.2025 passed by this Court, is hereby made absolute. Present petition is, therefore, allowed.

It is made clear that petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

However, it is clarified that in case the passport or an affidavit regarding non-possession of a passport, if he does not possess



CRM-M-72114-2025

5

one, is not submitted to the Investigating Agency or the Court concerned within a period of one week from today, the present order shall be deemed to be inoperative and the Investigating Officer shall be at liberty to proceed with the arrest of the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, petition stands disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

16.03.2026
Rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/~~NO~~
Whether Reportable: ~~YES~~/NO