



**In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh**

238

CRM-M-70918-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 16.02.2026

Arbaj ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present:- Mr. Sartaj Anjum, Advoacate with
Mr. Nafees Kamruddin, Advocate and
Ms. Payal Bisoka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surender Singh Pannu, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of the present petition, the petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.206 dated 03.85.2024 under Sections 318(4), 140(3), 308(5), 61(2) BNS and Section 25 of Arms Act, 1959 (Section 310(2) of BNS was added later on) registered at Police Station Nagina, District Nuh.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has been in custody since 15.08.2025. It is contended that the allegation against the petitioner is that he had provided his two bank accounts to the co-accused, Afridi, and in lieu thereof, he received an amount of Rs. 10,000/- for each account. It is further contended that the email IDs linked to the said accounts also pertained to co-accused Afridi, and that the petitioner had withdrawn the amounts deposited therein. It is further contended that apart from the aforesaid allegation, no other role has been attributed to the

*CRM-M-70918-2025 (O&M)*

(2)

petitioner in the commission of the alleged offence. Thus, the petitioner deserves to be released on regular bail.

3. Status report by way of an affidavit of Sh. Ajaib Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Ferozpur Jhirka, has been filed by learned State counsel and the same is taken on record.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposed the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner by submitting that the petitioner is a member of a gang and had provided his bank accounts to the co-accused, who deposited therein the amount allegedly extorted from the complainant, i.e. Rs. 49,999/-. It is further submitted that a sum of Rs.1500/- was got recovered from the said two accounts. It is also submitted that the investigation qua the petitioner has been completed and the challan has already been presented. Custody certificate of the petitioner, produced by learned State counsel in Court, is taken on record. As per the custody certificate, the petitioner has been in custody for the last about six months and he is not involved in any other case. Accordingly, learned State counsel prayed for dismissal of the present petition.

5. Heard.

6. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case; the petitioner is in custody since 16.08.2025, i.e. for the last about 06 months; the role attributed to the present petitioner that he provided his two accounts to co-accused and in lieu thereof, he received Rs.10,000/- (each account) and after arrest amount of Rs.1500/- was recovered from his account; investigation qua him has already been completed; challan stands presented; trial will take sufficient time to conclude and no fruitful purpose would be served by



CRM-M-70918-2025 (O&M) (3)

keeping him in custody for any further period, as concession of bail cannot be denied just as a measure of punishment, this Court deems it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

16.02.2026
Geeta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No