



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104

COCP-6095-2025
Date of decision : 06.05.2026

Sandeep Petitioner

versus

Dinesh Singh, District Town Planner, Hisar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Pradeep Duhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Compliance report has been filed by the respondent. The stand taken by the respondent in his affidavit (Annexure R-1) reads as under:-

“xx xx xx

8. That it is submitted that as per the order dated 12.12.2025, compliance report is being filed before this Hon'ble Court. The representations filed by the petitioner on 04.07.2025, 10.08.2025 & 18.11.2025 has been decided by passing a speaking order dated 02.01.2026 and the same has been conveyed to the petitioner vide this office endst. no. HR/DTP-E/09/2026 dated 02.01.2026. It is further submitted that the necessary lawful action has been taken against the unauthorized colonization as alleged in the representations and CWP No. 22397 of 2025. It is pertinent to mention here that the necessary lawful action against the unauthorized colonization is a continuous process which has been taking place since the year 2024 even before filing the representation and CWP by the petitioner. The deponent has issued Show Cause Notices, Restoration Orders and carried out demolitions in the unauthorized colonies and FIRs have also been



registered against the offenders for violating the provisions of the Haryana Development & Regulation of Urban Areas Act, 1975 which is described in detail in the speaking order dated 02.01.2026 i.e. Annexure R-1.

9. That in view of the above, the representation dated 04.07.2025, 10.08.2025 & 18.11.2025 have been decided and disposed off by following due procedure & provision of law. The appropriate action has been taken against the unauthorized colonization in accordance with law. As the order dated 04.08.2025 of this Hon’ble Court in CWP No. 22397 of 2025 has been complied with therefore the present petition is liable to be dismissed.”

- 2. In view of above, no further order is required to be passed.
- 3. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

06.05.2026
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No