



CRM-M-70058-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

227

CRM-M-70058-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 14.01.2026

KARANDEEP ALIAS KARANDEEP SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Manpreet Singh Bhatti, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.9 dated 31.01.2025, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Sonipat, under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340 and 61 BNS.

2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for about 11 months. He alleges false implication. As per the allegations, he is stated to have committed online fraud with regard to share-trading, but no amount came in his account. Co-accused Kusum Kaur in whose account Rs.4,91,000/- were credited, has since been granted bail. Charges were framed on 05.05.2025, however only 2 PWs have been examined out of 9. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.

CRM-M-70058-2025

3. The custody certificate dated 12.01.2026, filed by the learned State counsel is taken on record. As per the same, the petitioner is behind bars for 10 months and 27 days.

4. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are specific allegations against the petitioner of having received an amount of Rs.2,40,000/- which was transferred by the co-accused. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to stage of the case; the petitioner being not involved in any other case and the co-accused having been released on bail.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 10 months and 27 days; not involved in any other case; the co-accused is on bail; charges have been framed on 05.05.2025, only 2 PWs stand examined but there are still 7 more to go; the trial is likely to take a considerable time and further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.

CRM-M-70058-2025

- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.
- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

14.01.2026

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No
Whether reportable : Yes / No