

**CRM-M-70096-2025****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

128

**CRM-M-70096-2025
Decided on : 27.02.2026**

Akash Tiwari alias Nannu

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTHPresent : Ms. Sakshi Khara, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (BNSS), seeking regular bail in FIR No. 134 dated 23.10.2024, under Sections 318(4), 336(2), 336(3), 338, 340, 61(2) BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Cyber Crime, Ballabgarh (Haryana).

2. Complainant–Manvi Puri got registered the instant FIR with the allegation that on 18.07.2024, a call was received from mobile No. 9748181454, and caller disclosed her name as Khushi, speaking from Spice Jet Company, assured the complainant that since the interview has been cleared by her (complainant), she would have to pay the registration amount. Thereupon, on several occasions, different set of amount was transferred, totalling to Rs. 1,06,199/-. Since the complainant realized it later that she had been defrauded because of fake call, instant FIR was got registered by her.

**CRM-M-70096-2025**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case and not even single penny has been credited in his bank account. She further submits that, co-accused of the petitioner namely - Roshan and Dhanraj have already been arrested. She submits that during interrogation, petitioner has already got recovered one mobile phone make Redmi, which was allegedly used in the crime. Moreover, challan has already been presented and charges were framed on 31.10.2025 and out of total 11 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date and there is no other case pending against the petitioner. Thus, counsel for the petitioner prays for grant of bail.

4. Learned State counsel, opposed the prayer and submissions advanced by learned counsel for the petitioner, however, he does not deny the fact that petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025, thus, prays for dismissal of bail.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. Considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 02.07.2025 and out of a total 11 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined till date and there are no criminal antecedents against the petitioner. Therefore, personal liberty of the petitioner can not be curtailed for an indefinite period. Thus, this Court deems it appropriate to grant the concession of bail to the petitioner.

Consequently, the present petition is allowed, and the petitioner is directed to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial

Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of



CRM-M-70096-2025

evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible in accordance with law.

8. It is further made clear that if in future petitioner is found indulged in similar kind of activities, prosecution would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail in the present case.

9. Petition stands disposed of.

10. Application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of accordingly.

February 27, 2026

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

reena

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No