



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(119)

**CRWP-13424-2025(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 21.01.2026**

Gurparb Singh @ Gurprabh Singh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

Stae of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUBHAS MEHLA

Present Mr.Tarun Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab.

SUBHAS MEHLA, J (ORAL)

1. Present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to respondents no.1 to 3 to safeguard the life, liberty and property of the petitioner and his family members which is in danger at the hands of police of District Bathinda.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that police of various police stations of District Bathinda between 06.09.2025 to 31.10.2025 registered six FIRs on the allegations of theft of material from mobile towers of Reliance JIO company and Indus Towers Co. Ltd against unknown persons; due to the clout and influence of the mobile companies; Bathinda police was under pressure to nominate some persons as accused in those FIRs and hence petitioner was falsely arrayed as accused; police of District Bathinda threatened the petitioner that he shall be implicated in atleast 16 more cases of similar nature not only in Bathinda but in whole of Punjab and they have also threatened the petitioner that in case he raises any



grievance or approaches any Court his family members shall be implicated in false criminal cases and hence, the present petition.

3. In pursuance of advance notice, Mr.Anup Singh, AAG, Punjab appeared and submitted that petitioner has actively participated in the said offence, which has been corroborated by statement of person to whom petitioner sold the stolen articles; petitioner is a habitual offender and has seven FIRs of similar nature registered against him.

4. Keeping in view the reply filed by the State as well as contention of the learned State counsel that purchaser of stolen articles has also disclosed the name of the petitioner as supplier of the goods, hence, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition and the same is hereby dismissed.

4. All pending misc. applications, if any, be also disposed of.

21.01.2026
mamta

(SUBHAS MEHLA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No