

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110+111 (5 cases)

CWP-37322-2025
Date of Decision: 22.04.2026

Jarnail Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

With

Sr. No.	Case No.	Petitioner	Respondents
2.	CWP-37324-2025	Sukhdev Singh	State of Punjab and others
3.	CWP-37462-2025	Sukhwinder Singh	State of Punjab and others
4.	CWP-37792-2025	Mani Ram Shelly	State of Punjab and others
5.	CWP-37535-2025	Sudama Singh	State of Punjab and others

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Ms. Jasmine Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjeev Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Vikas Arora, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. As common issues are involved in the captioned petitions, with the consent of both sides, the same are hereby disposed of by this common order. For the sake of brevity and convenience, facts are borrowed from *CWP No.37322 of 2025*.
2. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to release payment with respect to work carried out by him for the respondent along with interest.

3. The petitioner has entered into a contract with respondent No.3 which is a private entity. There is a contract between respondent Nos.2 and 3. As per petitioner, respondent No.2 is an entity which is owned and controlled by State Government. Respondent No.3 has rejected petitioner's claim on the ground that there is no outstanding liability.
4. This Court can ask State Government or its instrumentalities to clear outstanding dues, however, cannot ask to clear disputed liability. This Court further cannot ask any private entity to clear disputed or undisputed dues. The parties are bound to avail remedies as permissible by law.
5. In the backdrop, the petitions stand disposed of with liberty to petitioners to avail remedy as permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

22.04.2026
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No