

2026:PHHC:014796



COCP-6164-2025(O&M) 1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COCP-6164-2025(O&M)
Date of decision : 02.02.2026

Kamal Kishore and another

... Petitioners

Versus

Amit Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Pankaj Bains, Advocate and
Ms.Chankirat Singh Bakshi, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms.Madhu Dayal, Advocate
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

CM-1938-CII-2026

1. Allowed as prayed for.
2. The undertaking /affidavit in compliance of the order dated 21.01.2026 is taken on record.

COCP-6164-2025

1. On 21.01.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present: Mr.Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms.Madhu Dayal, Advocate for the respondent.



A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 14.11.2024 had partly allowed the writ petition filed by the petitioners in the following terms:-

“40. The interim compensation shall be disbursed to the petitioners within a period of two months of the date of receipt of certified copy of this order failing which the petitioners shall be entitled to interest @ 6% per annum on the interim compensation from the date of filing of the petition. The respondent/department shall however be entitled to seek a set off of the principal out of the interim compensation ordered above, from the amount finally awarded, but there shall be no recovery in case the compensation assessed by the court is less than the interim compensation ordered above.

41. Needless to mention that the period during which the present petition has remained pending before this court and till such time when the certified copy of the order is received by the petitioner shall be excluded while computing the limitation for institution of such proceedings.

42. The present petition is accordingly partly allowed with liberties as aforesaid.

14.11.2024”

The said order was passed on 14.11.2024 and when the same was not complied with, the present contempt petition was initiated. On 22.12.2025, this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present:- Mr. Pankaj Bains, Advocate and

2026:PHHC:014796



COCP-6164-2025(O&M) 3

*Mr. Chankirat Singh Bakshi, Advocate
for Mr. Ritesh Aggarwal, Advocate for
the petitioners.*

*Ms. Madhu Dayal, Advocate for the
respondent.*

*Learned counsel for the respondent
prays for an adjournment to submit compliance
report.*

Adjourned to 21.01.2026.

*Let compliance report be filed on or
before the next date of hearing.*

To be shown in the urgent list.

22.12.2025”

*The respondent instead of complying with the
order has stated that LPA-246-2025 has been filed against the
same.*

*On a pointed query raised by this Court, it has
been stated that although notice was issued in the LPA but
there is no interim order in the same. In the said
circumstances, it cannot be said that the respondent is
complying with the order dated 14.11.2024 passed by the Co-
ordinate Bench of this Court. When this Court was about to
proceed in the contempt proceedings, learned counsel for the
respondent, on instructions, has submitted that the respondent
would comply with the order dated 14.11.2024 within a period
of 10 days and in case the same is not done, then, litigation
expenses to the extent of Rs.15,000/- would also be paid to
each of the petitioner. It is further submitted that the amount to
be paid by the respondent be subject to the decision in LPA and
the petitioners be directed to file an affidavit/ undertaking
before this Court on repaying the amount in case the LPA is*



allowed.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has very fairly submitted that the petitioners would submit affidavit / undertaking before this Court.

Adjourned to 02.02.2026.

To be shown in the urgent list.

The petitioners would submit affidavit / undertaking within a period of five days before this Court to the effect that in case LPA filed by the respondent is allowed, then, the amount would be returned by the petitioners within a period of one month from the passing of the said order. The respondent would release the money before the next date of hearing, failing which, apart from paying the costs of Rs.15,000/- to each of the petitioners, further proceedings against the respondent would also be initiated. January 21, 2026”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have submitted undertaking in pursuance of the said order and has further submitted that the amount has also been released. It is submitted that in view of the same, the present petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The petitioners would be bound by the undertaking given before this Court.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 02, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No