

2026:PHHC:038926



CRR-3213-2025 (O & M)

::1::

(134)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRR-3213-2025 (O & M)
Date of Decision: 12.03.2026**

Pxxxxx Kxxxx

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Mohit Rathee, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. T.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.

The prayer in the present Revision Petition is for setting aside the impugned orders dated 18.10.2025 passed by Additional Sessions Judge, Jhajjar and order dated 11.09.2026 passed by Juvenile Justice Board, Jhajjar, whereby an appeal and application filed for release on bail has been dismissed.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner, though, the only accused is a juvenile and has been found to be of the age of 16 to 17 years as per the Ossification Test conducted. As he is currently confined at the PLACE OF SAFETY, Faridabad since 22.01.2025 but only 06 of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far, the Trial of the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon and therefore, he is entitled to the concession of bail.

2026:PHHC:038926



CRR-3213-2025 (O & M)

::2::

3. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, has filed a reply dated 10.03.2026 which is taken on record. While referring to the said reply, he contends that, though, the petitioner is a sole accused, he is indeed a juvenile and that he is currently confined at the PLACE OF SAFETY, Faridabad since 22.01.2025 and that only 6 of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

5. Admittedly, as per the prosecution case, the petitioner is the sole accused. However, it is true that he is a juvenile/child in conflict with law. Only 6 of the 24 prosecution witnesses have been examined so far. Therefore, the Trial in the present case is not likely to be concluded anytime soon. In this situation, the further incarceration of the petitioner is not required.

6. Thus, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner-Pragesh Kumar is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Juvenile Justice Board, concerned.

7. The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

March 12, 2026

vishal

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable :- Yes/No