



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

Decided on : 23.02.2026

1. CRM-M-69795-2025
MANJEET AND ANOTHER . . . PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA . . . RESPONDENT(S)

2. CRM-M-72387-2025
SHUBHAM . . . PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA . . . RESPONDENT(S)

3. CRM-M-3940-2026
PARVESH AND ANOTHER . . . PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA . . . RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH.

Present: Mr. Sandeep Dhanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s)
(in CRM-M-69795-2025 & CRM-M-3940-2026).

Mr. Rajesh Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CRM-M-72387-2025).

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana
assisted by SI Devinder, 139, P.S. Baroda, Distt. Sonipat.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J (ORAL)

1. This order shall dispose of CRM-M-69795-2025, CRM-M-72387-2025 and CRM-M-3940-2026, as all these petitions are interconnected and have arisen out of same FIR. However, the lead case is CRM-M-69795-2025.



2. While hearing all three petitions on 11.12.2025, 22.12.2025 and 27.01.2026, respectively, following orders were passed by this Court:-

“CRM-M-69795-2025

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, who have been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
(1) Manjeet, aged about 36 years, and (2) Naveen, aged about 15 years (minor)	0409	19.11.2025	25 of Arms Act, Sections 109(1), 115, 126, 127(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3), 304, 351(3) of BNS, 2023	Barauda	Sonipat

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia, contends that as per allegations levelled by the complainant namely Maman Singh, he was called by petitioner No.1 – Manjeet to her house to collect money allegedly borrowed by her, on earlier occasion. Complainant, armed with his licensed pistol, arrived in a car, bearing registration No.HR-11M-2538 at the house of the petitioners. It is alleged that an acquaintance of petitioner No.1 stopped the complainant’s car, went inside the house to fetch the money, while complainant remained seated in his vehicle. Suddenly, all the accused persons, namely (1) Naseeb, (2) Shubham, (3) Shaukeen, (4) Naveen, (5) Ramesh, and (6) Manjeet (wife of Ramesh), came running out of the house armed with sticks and rods, dragged the complainant out of his car, and gave him beatings. During the incident, accused Naseeb allegedly snatched the complainant’s licensed pistol from his pocket, dragged him to their house, and further assaulted him. It is also alleged that on being instigated by accused Ramesh and his wife Manjeet, Naseeb fired a shot at the complainant, but the bullet struck the wall and a glass pane.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is effectively a case of no injury, and had there been any real intention to commit murder, accused persons would have fired at the complainant again. It is further argued that investigation does not reveal whether any money was ever borrowed by petitioner No.1 – Manjeet from the complainant, or whether any such call for repayment was actually made. Since, no specific injury has been attributed to any of the accused, including the petitioners, they are willing to join the investigation and cooperate fully, provided they are granted protection from arrest. Thus, counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.

6. Adjourned to 23.02.2026.

7. Meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by all the



conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.

CRM-M-72387-2025

1. *Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-*

<i>Name & age of Petitioner (s)</i>	<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Section(s)</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>District</i>
<i>Shubham minor son of late Sh. Surendra, aged 16 years</i>	<i>409</i>	<i>19.11.2025</i>	<i>109(1), 115(2), 351(3), 126(2), 127(2), 304(2), 190, 191(2), 191(3) of BNS, 2023 & 25/54/59 of Arms Act, 1959</i>	<i>Barauda</i>	<i>Sonepat</i>

2. *Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that as per allegations levelled by the complainant, namely, Maman Singh, he was called by co-accused – Manjeet, to her house to collect money allegedly borrowed by her, on earlier occasion. Complainant, armed with his licensed pistol, arrived in a car, bearing registration No.HR-11M-2538 at the house of the petitioners. It is alleged that an acquaintance of accused - Manjeet stopped the complainant’s car, went inside the house to fetch the money, while complainant remained seated in his vehicle. Suddenly, all the accused persons, namely (1) Naseeb, (2) Shubham (petitioner herein), (3) Shaukeen, (4) Naveen, (5) Ramesh, and (6) Manjeet (wife of Ramesh), came running out of the house armed with sticks and rods, dragged the complainant out of his car, and gave him beatings. During the incident, accused – Naseeb allegedly snatched the complainant’s licensed pistol from his pocket, dragged him to their house, and further assaulted him. It is also alleged that on being instigated by co-accused - Ramesh and his wife Manjeet, Naseeb fired a shot at the complainant, but the bullet struck the wall and a glass pane.*

3. *Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is effectively a case of no injury and that, had there been any real intention to commit murder, the accused persons would have fired at the complainant again. It is further argued that the investigation does not reveal whether any money was ever borrowed by accused – Manjeet from the complainant, nor does it establish that any such call for repayment was actually made.*

Besides, it is also noticeable that petitioner – Shubham is aged about 16 years and no specific role has been attributed to him, as the pistol involved in the crime was fired by the co-accused – Naseeb. Since no specific injury has been attributed to any of the accused, including the petitioner, he is willing to join the investigation and cooperate fully, provided he is granted protection from arrest. Thus, learned counsel prays for grant of concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in the present case.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, learned State counsel puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to*



respond to the submissions addressed by learned counsel opposite, after seeking instructions. And, in case of necessity, to file status report by verifying the submissions addressed by the petitioner’s counsel.

6. Adjourned to **23.02.2026**.

7. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioner shall also be abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).

8. Besides, it is directed that petitioner would hand over his passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if he possesses. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that he does not possess any passport.

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioner would seek prior permission of the Court.

9. To be heard along with **CRM-M-69795-2025**.

CRM-M-3940-2026

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438 Cr.P.C.), is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, who has been booked in a criminal case arising out of First Information Report, as detailed hereunder:-

Name & age of Petitioner (s)	FIR No.	Date	Section(s)	Police Station	District
Parvesh, aged about 40 years, and Rahul, aged about 33 years	409	19.11.2025	25 of Arms Act, Sections 109(1), 115, 126, 127(2), 190, 191(3), 191(3), 304, 351(3) of BNS, 2023	Barauda	Sonepat

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that names of both the petitioners are not mentioned in the FIR.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, further contends that as per allegations levelled by the complainant namely Maman Singh, he was called by co-accused Manjeet to her house to collect money allegedly borrowed by her, on earlier occasion. Complainant, armed with his licensed pistol, arrived in a car, bearing registration No.HR-11M-2538 at their house. It is alleged that an acquaintance of co-accused Manjeet, stopped the complainant’s car, went inside the house to fetch the money, while complainant remained seated in his vehicle. Suddenly, all the accused persons, namely (1) Naseeb, (2) Shubham, (3) Shaukeen, (4) Naveen, (5) Ramesh, and (6) Manjeet (wife of Ramesh), came running out of the house armed with sticks and rods, dragged the complainant out of his car, and gave him beatings. During the incident, accused Naseeb allegedly snatched the complainant’s licensed pistol from his pocket, dragged him to their house, and further assaulted him. It is also alleged that on being instigated by accused Ramesh and his wife Manjeet, Naseeb fired a shot at the complainant, but the bullet struck the wall and a glass pane.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that this is effectively a case of no injury, and had there been any real intention to commit murder, accused persons would have fired at the complainant again. It is further argued that investigation does not reveal whether any money was ever borrowed by co-accused Manjeet from the complainant, or whether any such call for repayment was actually



made. Since, no specific injury has been attributed to any of the accused, including the petitioners, they are willing to join the investigation and cooperate fully, provided they are granted protection from arrest.

Additionally, it is submitted that co-accused namely Manjeet and Naveen, had already been granted the concession of interim anticipatory bail by this Court, vide order dated 11.12.2025 passed in CRM-M-69795-2025 (Annexure P-3). Thus, counsel prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners in the present case.

4. *Notice of motion.*

5. *On advance notice, Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana, puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent – State, and seeks some time to file status report in the matter.*

6. *Adjourned to 23.02.2026.*

To be heard along with CRM-M-69795-2025.

7. *Meanwhile, petitioners are directed to join the investigation as and when required to do so by the Investigating Agency. In the event of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on ad-interim bail, subject to their furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. The petitioners shall also abide by all the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.).*

8. *Besides, it is directed that petitioners would hand over their passport to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possess. Otherwise, would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.*

It is also directed that before leaving country any time during trial, petitioners would seek prior permission of the Court.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) further contend that in compliance of the orders dated 11.12.2025, 22.12.2025 and 27.01.2026, respectively, passed by this Court, the petitioner(s) have already joined the investigation and are ready to co-operate with investigation agency, as and when same is required again.

Thus, custodial interrogation of the petitioner(s) would not serve any purpose to the prosecution and therefore, seeks confirmation of the interim bails.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions, confirms the said averment made by counsel for the petitioner(s) of joining the investigation by the petitioners, and submits that as of now, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required for the purpose of investigation.

5. Heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. Since, petitioners have already joined the investigation and custodial interrogations are no more required; ad-interim bail orders



dated 11.12.2025, 22.12.2025 and 27.01.2026, respectively, passed by this Court are hereby made **absolute**. Accordingly, present petitions are **allowed**.

However, petitioners shall continue to join the investigation as and when required to do so and abide by all the terms and conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

7. Accordingly, petition stands **disposed of**.
8. However, present order would be subject to the submission of passport of the petitioners to the Investigating Agency or to Court concerned, if they possesses, within a period of one week from today. Otherwise, they would submit an affidavit, disclosing the fact that they do not possess any passport.

It is clarified that in case, aforesaid condition is not complied with, this order would be considered as *non est* automatically.

Pending misc. application(s), if any, also stand(s) disposed of.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the files of other connected cases.

February 23, 2026
J.Ram

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>