



COCP-6135-2025

105

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP-6135-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision : 20.03.2026**

Shamsher Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Surendra Lamba

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Ranjodh Singh Sidhu, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Bali, Addl.A.G., Punjab.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the present petition is for initiation of proceedings under Section 10 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, against the respondent for alleged willful disobedience of the directions issued by the Writ Court vide order dated 29.09.2025 (Annexure P-1), passed in CRWP-10515-2025, whereby the following directions were issued:-

“4. In view of the above, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran is directed to look into the representation and decide the same on the basis of threat perception felt by the petitioner and if the threat perception is found to be true then the protection as admissible in law shall be provided. In case, the concerned SSP is of the view that no protection is required, then a speaking order shall be passed and communicated to the petitioner.”

2. Compliance affidavit dated 19.01.2026 of Surendra Lamba, IPC, Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran, has been



COCp-6135-2025

filed, which is taken on record. A copy thereof is supplied to the opposite counsel. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

3. Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent submits that in compliance with the aforesaid order, three separate notices were served to the petitioner, one of which was received by his son, but the petitioner did not come to the Police Station for recording statement. He further submits that statements of the opposite party, namely, Sursahib Singh, Gurmeet Singh, Gopi, Zorawar Singh and Tarsem Singh, have been recorded. It has been informed that there is a civil dispute pending; FIR No.191 dated 13.08.2025, under Sections 329(3), 324(4), 325(1)(2) of BNS was registered against the petitioner and others; the petitioner was arrested in the aforesaid FIR on 14.08.2025 and later on released on bail. In the reply, there is also a reference of preventive action taken against both parties and a *Kalandra* under Sections 126/169 of BNS having been recorded against both the parties vide DDR No.21 dated 13.12.2025.

4. Leaned counsel for the respondent submits that the petitioner has failed to join the inquiry. However, he assures that in case the petitioner appears before the SHO of the concerned Police Station, his statement shall be recorded and in case any apprehension is found, appropriate action shall be taken.

5. In view of the above, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he shall appear before the SHO of concerned Police Station within a period of two weeks from today and submit the apprehensions, if any.



COCP-6135-2025

6. In view of the above, nothing survives in the present petition. The respondent may act as per law if any threat perception is found from the statement of the petitioner, which shall be recorded if he appears within two weeks from today.

7. Disposed of, accordingly.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

20.03.2026
atulsethi

[HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN]
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No