



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRM-M-69415-2025
Date of decision: 20.03.2026

AMIT KUMARPetitioner(s)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJABRespondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present: - Mr. Achin Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Karan Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Senior DAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 482 of the BNSS, 2023 for grant of pre-arrest bail in case bearing FIR No. 218 dated 14.11.2025 registered under Sections 333, 109, 324(4), 115(2), 190, 191(3) of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) of the BNS, 2023 was added later on and Section 109 of BNS, 2023 was deleted).

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that pursuant to the order dated 09.12.2025 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required for investigation of the case.

Learned State counsel on instruction from concerned Investigating Officer corroborates the said averment and submits that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not required for the purpose of investigation.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Since the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required, the present petition is allowed and the interim order dated 09.12.2025 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

MARCH 20, 2026
Vishal Sharma

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No