

2026:PHHC:003788



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**224**

**CRM-M-70214-2025  
Date of Decision: 14.01.2026**

**HARPAL SINGH**

... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA**

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.**

\*\*\*\*

Present: Mr. Simranjeet Singh, Ms. Simranjeet Kaur and  
Ms. Kulwant Kaur, Advocates for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*

**H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 439 of Cr.P.C. seeking regular bail for the petitioner in case FIR No.0022 dated 14.02.2020 under Sections 406, 420 and 506 of IPC registered at Police Station Jhansa, Tehsil and District Kurukshetra.

2. The case of the prosecution is that one Parminder Singh @ Pammi alongwith one Buta Ram used to regularly visit the Centre of the complainant for making placements of the students which were regular students at the Training Centre being run by the complainant. They offered the students to get them placed in various departments and on such pretext/false promise, the students of the complainant parted with a huge amount of Rs.52 lacs. It is further stated that an amount of Rs.49,000/- only was found deposited in the account of the petitioner.

3. Learned arguing counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR and he has been implicated in the present case solely on the basis of disclosure statement made by the co-accused. He further contends that no recovery has been effected from the petitioner. Apart from a meagre amount found deposited in the account of the petitioner, there is no other evidence to show that the petitioner has actively participated in the commission of the offence. Moreover, the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and 10 days.

4. Notice of motion.

5. Mr. Rakesh Kumar Jangra, AAG, Haryana accepts notice and vehemently opposes the petition for grant of bail on the ground that there is an active role of the petitioner in the commission of the offence in question. Hence, he prays for dismissal of the present petition. Custody certificate filed by the State Counsel is taken on record. As per the said certificate, the petitioner has already undergone a period of 07 months and 10 days.

6. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

7. Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and taking into consideration the fact that the petitioner is in custody for the last 07 months and 10 days and the trial against the petitioner might take long time to conclude, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner deserves the concession of regular bail. Moreover, bail is rule and jail is exception. Since the continuous incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice, this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner during the pendency of the trial.

8. Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.
9. It is clarified that if while on bail so granted through the instant order, the petitioner is found indulged in any other criminal case/activity, it shall be open to the State to seek cancellation of his bail.
10. Nothing discussed hereinabove shall be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**JANUARY 14, 2026.**

*Rajender\*

**(H.S. GREWAL)  
JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No*  
*Whether reportable : Yes/No*