

2026:PHHC:005245



210-Urgent

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Civil Revision No. 9121 of 2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.01.2026**

Swaran Singh Kahlon

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Naresh Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioner-Decree Holder.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No. 1.

Ms. Avin Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No. 2-GMADA.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner-Decree Holder, by way of present revision petition, seeks setting aside of an order dated 19.09.2025 (Annexure P-4) passed by the learned Additional District Judge, SAS Nagar-cum-Executing Court, only to the extent, whereby release of compensation to the petitioner-landowner was made conditional upon furnishing indemnity bonds to the tune of double the amount with one surety in like amount.

[2] Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner, who is a landowner, has been granted the compensation strictly as determined by the learned Reference Court concerned and there is no stay granted by any Higher Court or Authority. It is, therefore, submitted that

there cannot be any requirement of furnishing indemnity bonds for receiving his own money by the petitioner.

[3] Upon notice, learned counsel for respondent No. 1-State of Punjab has not even disputed that there is no stay from any Court or Authority.

[4] Accordingly, this Court finds the condition imposed by the learned Executing Court qua furnishing of indemnity bonds by the petitioner-Decree Holder to be wholly unjustified. Otherwise also, in matters relating to release of amounts of compensation on account of acquisition of land, it deserves to be noted that such compensation is a statutory entitlement of the landowner. Therefore, unless there is any specific direction or order from the Appellate Court, no Executing Court is authorized to insist upon any guarantee, surety, security or bonds of any kind for releasing the money to the entitled landowner.

[5] In view of the above, the present petition is **allowed** and the condition imposed by the learned Executing Court requiring furnishing of indemnity bonds by the petitioner for release of compensation is quashed. The petitioner-landowner be paid the amount as directed by the learned Executing Court without insisting upon furnishing of any indemnity bonds by the petitioner.

[6] Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

January 15, 2026
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No