

2026:PHHC:027570



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CRM-M-69544-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.02.2026

RUCHI

... Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL.

Present: Mr. Rajat Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

H.S. GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

CRM-7403-2026

Allowed as prayed for.

The original affidavit of complainant (Annexure P-4) is ordered to be taken on record subject to all just exceptions. Registry is directed to tag the same at appropriate place of the paper book.

MAIN CASE

This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.136 dated 27.07.2024 under Sections 66(C) and 67 of IT Act 2000 registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Manesar, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.11.2025 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the matter has been compromised between the parties by virtue of compromise deed dated 11.11.2025 (Annexure P-2) and the respondent No.2-complainant has duly sworn an affidavit dated 'nil' with regard to the compromise arrived at between

the parties. The said affidavit in original has been placed on record today as Annexure P-4.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Kamal Khattana, Advocate enters appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and admits the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

5. Ms. Ruchi Sekhri, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and he could not refute the factum of compromise arrived at between the parties.

6. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

7. In that view of the matter, even if this case is taken to its logical conclusion, it would only be an exercise in futility as the parties have already settled their differences. Therefore, no purpose would be served in wasting the time and resources of the State in pursuing this case to its pre-ordained end. It would, therefore, be better to give a quietus to the issue at this stage.

8. Accordingly, the petition is allowed and FIR No.136 dated 27.07.2024 under Sections 66(C) and 67 of IT Act 2000 registered at Police Station Cyber Crime Manesar, District Gurugram (Annexure P-1) stands quashed alongwith all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.11.2025 (Annexure P-2) as well as affidavit of respondent No.2-complainant (Annexure P-4) subject to costs of Rs.20,000/- to be deposited with Punjab and Haryana High Court Legal Services Committee, Chandigarh.

9. Petition stands allowed accordingly.

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

FEBRUARY 18, 2026.

Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No