



CRM-M-69354-2025

-1-

194

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-69354-2025

Date of decision: 23.02.2026

RAJINDER SINGH AND ANOTHER

... petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Ashok Paul Batra, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Piyush Setia, Advocate for respondent No.2.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The present revision petition has been filed against the judgment dated 16.08.2017 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda, vide which the appeal preferred by the petitioners against the judgment of conviction and order of sentence dated 17.05.2016 passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda, has been dismissed.

Vide order dated 09.12.2025, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 10.07.2023 (Annexure P-4).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 09.12.2025 passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathina and as per the report dated 13.02.2026



CRM-M-69354-2025 -2-

submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

The Hon’ble Supreme Court in “*Ramgopal and another versus State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021(4) RCR (Criminal) 322*”, has held that in non-compoundable cases of pre-dominantly private nature, even if a compromise is reached after conviction, the proceedings can be quashed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. Further, the compromise in the present case is found to be fully in consonance of judgments with the direction issued by the Court in *Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543*”.

In view of the compromise arrived at between the parties which has been accepted by the counsel for the complainant by invoking this Court's inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the FIR No.359 dated 09.05.2008 registered under Sections 324, 323, 427, 34 IPC at Police Station Kotwali, District Bathinda along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom including the judgment dated 16.08.2017 passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Bathinda and judgment of conviction/sentence dated 17.05.2016 passed by the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Bathinda are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

The petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

23.02.2026
kusum

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No