



CWP-36823-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-36823-2025

Date of Decision :12.01.2026

Union of India and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Ex. NK Roop Singh and another

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, Senior Panel Counsel
for the petitioners-UI.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the challenge is to the impugned order dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.2-the Armed Forces Tribunal, Regional Bench, Chandigarh (hereinafter referred to as 'the Tribunal') by which, respondent No.1 has been allowed the benefit of rounding off of the disability element of disability pension from 60% to 75% for the period starting from 01.01.2000 to 08.07.2001 and from 80% to 100% w.e.f. 09.07.2001 to 31.12.2015 as per Policy of Government of India, Ministry of Defence, letter No.17 (01) 2017 (02)/D (Pension/policy) dated 05.09.2017 on the ground that the same is perverse.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that though the disabilities i.e. (ii) *Traumatic SNHL (Bill)*, ID (iii) *Compound Communitied Mandible Paraymphysis* and ID (iv) *Fracture Ribs 5,6 & 7 (RT)* have been found to be existing in respondent No.1 @ 60% w.e.f. 01.01.2000 to 08.07.2001 which was subsequently reviewed @ 80% w.e.f. 09.07.2001 for



CWP-36823-2025

-2-

life and have been assessed to be attributable to military service but the benefit of rounding off of disability pension has been granted to respondent No.1, which is incorrect.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and have gone through the case file with his able assistance.

4. It is conceded fact that at the time when respondent No.1 released from service on 31.12.1999, he had already rendered more than 17 years service with the petitioners-Union of India. It is also a conceded fact that at the time when respondent No. 1 joined the armed forces on 31.12.1982, he was medically examined and was found not to be suffering from any such disease. It is also a conceded fact that the disability suffered by respondent No.1 has been assessed by the Medical Board to be attributable to military service, hence, the only grievance of the petitioners is qua grant of benefit of rounding off of the disability element of disability pension to respondent No.1.

5. This issue has been settled by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Union of India and others vs. Ram Avtar, 2014 SCC Online SC 1761***, wherein it has been held that an Armed Forces personnel is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding off with regard to disability pension, irrespective of the fact that he was invalidated out of service, or retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of his engagement, if found to be suffering from some disability which is either attributable or aggravated by the Military service. Relevant paras of the judgment in ***Ram Avtar's case (supra)*** are as under:-

“4. By the present set of appeals the appellant(s) raise the question, whether or not, an individual, who has retired on attaining the age of superannuation or on completion of his tenure of engagement, if found to



be suffering from some disability which is attributable to or aggravated by the military service, is entitled to be granted the benefit of rounding-off of disability pension. The appellant(s) herein would contend that, on the basis of Circular No. 1(2)/97/D(Pen-C) issued by the Ministry of Defence, Government of India, dated 31.01.2001, the aforesaid benefit is made available only to an Armed Forces Personnel who is invalidated out of service, and not to any other category of Armed Forces Personnel mentioned hereinabove.

5. *We have heard learned counsel for the parties to the lis.*

6. *We do not see any error in the impugned judgment(s) and order(s) and therefore all the appeals which pertain to the concept of rounding-off of the disability pension are dismissed, with no order as to costs.*

7. *The dismissal of these matters will be taken note of by the High Courts as well as by the Tribunals in granting appropriate relief to the pensioners before them, if any, who are getting or are entitled to the disability pension.”*

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners has not been able to dispute the said proposition of law having been settled by the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in ***Ram Avtar’s case (supra)*** to the extent that the disability element is to be rounded off and in the present case, the disability of 60% is to be rounded off to 75% and thereafter 80% to 100%.

7. Further, in a recent judgment in ***Civil Appeal No.11311 of 2025 titled as Union of India and others vs. Reet MP Singh and another, decided on 01.09.2025***, the Hon’ble Supreme Court of India by placing reliance upon ***Ram Avtar’s case (supra)*** as well as ***Bijender Singh vs. Union of India and***



CWP-36823-2025

-4-

others, 2025 SCC Online SC 895, has again reiterated that the benefit of rounding off the disability element cannot be denied.

8. Keeping in view the facts and circumstance of the present case as well as the settled principle of law settled in *Ram Avtar's case (supra)* as well as *Reet M.P. Singh's case (supra)*, the benefit of rounding off of the disability element of disability pension from 60% to 75% and from 80% to 100% for respective time period granted to respondent No.1 as per the said settled principle of law is correct and the same cannot be treated as perverse.

9. Hence, in the absence of any perversity being pointed out in the impugned order dated 23.08.2024 (Annexure P-1) either on the basis of the facts or the settled principle of law, no ground is made out for any interference by this Court in the facts and circumstances of the present case and the writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

10. Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

January 12, 2026

aarti

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No