



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

143

CRM-M-69139-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 27.03.2026

Faijan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Gursimar Singh, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. B.S. Saroha, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 483 BNSS is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.195 dated 16.08.2025, registered under Sections 115, 118(1), 118(2), 190, 191(3), 351(3), 110 of BNS & 25-54-59 of Arms Act at Police Station Sector-14, District Panchkula.
2. Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been in custody for more than 7 months. The quarrel broke between the complainant party and the petitioner, when one Aslam called 6-7 boys. As per the allegations, he is the one, who caused grievous injuries to the injured and not the petitioner, however, subsequently, the petitioner is stated to have given the said injuries. This issue is thus debatable and would be seen during the course of trial. There is a delay of 24 hours in lodging the FIR. Charges have been framed on 16.12.2025, however, out of 19 prosecution witnesses, none has been examined. The petitioner is not involved in any other case.
3. Learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground that there are



specific allegations against the petitioner levelled by the complainant in his supplementary statement of attributing grievous injuries. However, he is unable to controvert the submissions with regard to the custody, stage and the petitioner being not involved in any other case.

5. Heard.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, in particular that the petitioner is in custody for the last 7 months and 8 days; not involved in any other case; charges were framed on 16.12.2025, however, out of 19 prosecution witnesses, none has yet been examined, the trial is likely to take a considerable time, further incarceration of the petitioner would be violative of his right enshrined under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case and shall abide by the following conditions:-

- (i) The petitioner will not tamper with the evidence during the trial.
- (ii) The petitioner will not pressurize/ intimidate the prosecution witnesses.
- (iii) The petitioner will appear before the trial Court on each and every date fixed, unless is exempted by a specific order of Court.
- (iv) The petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the offence of which, he is an accused, or for commission of which he is suspected of.
- (v) The petitioner shall not directly or indirectly coerce, induce, threaten or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/ her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence in any manner.



- (vi) The petitioner shall not in any manner misuse his liberty.
- (vii) The petitioner shall furnish his address and mobile number by way of an affidavit to the trial Court and not change the same till conclusion of trial and if for any reasons, he seeks to change either of the aforesaid, it shall be done only with prior information to the learned trial Court.
- (viii) The petitioner shall not leave the country without prior permission of the trial Court.
- (ix) The trial Court/Duty Magistrate may impose any other condition, as deemed appropriate while releasing the petitioner.

8. It is made abundantly clear that in case there is any breach of the aforesaid conditions, the State shall be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail as granted to the petitioner by this order.

9. In view of the above, it is clarified that the observations made herein above are limited for the purpose of present proceedings and would not be construed as any opinion on the merits of the case and the trial would proceed independently of the aforesaid observations.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

27.03.2026
M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No