



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-6009-2025

Date of decision : 25.02.2026

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Brig. Prakash Rana and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None of the petitioner.

Ms.Bhavana Datta, Advocate
for the respondents-UOI.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. The present contempt petition has been filed by stating that the order dated 16.10.2025 passed by the Division Bench has not been complied with.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents has submitted that the respondents had filed review application i.e., RA-CW-42-2026 and the Division Bench vide order dated 20.02.2026 has allowed the said review application and has recalled the order in the presence of the counsel for the petitioner. The order dated 20.02.2026 has been handed over to the Court during the course of arguments, which has been taken on record as Mark A.

It is submitted that in view of the same, the present contempt petition does



not survive and be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect. Moreover, a perusal of the order dated 20.02.2026 would show that the order of the Division Bench dated 16.10.2025 of which contempt petition has been filed, stands recalled.

4. Accordingly, the present contempt petition is disposed of. Rules stand discharged. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the case in case the above said facts as brought to the notice of this Court by the respondents are found to be incorrect.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

February 25, 2026.
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No