



COC-5992-2025

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(105)

COC-5992-2025

Date of Decision: - 16.02.2026

Gagandeep Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

Gaurav Yadav and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present contempt petition has been filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India read with Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 for punishing the respondents for willfully, deliberately and intentionally violating the order dated 01.08.2025 (Annexure P1) passed by the Co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

2. On 29.01.2026, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

*“Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

Mr. Sandeep Singh, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

*Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from
Mr.Ranjodh Singh, Clerk, has submitted that in paragraph 5 of the*



speaking order dated 18.12.2025, it had been stated that the notional pay and seniority of the petitioner would be fixed from the date of joining of the last similarly appointed candidates in pursuance to advertisement dated 31.05.2016 and benefit shall be given to the petitioner. It is further submitted that with respect to the payment of full salary during probation period, the matter is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that as is apparent from the order dated 01.08.2025, the petitioner had not pressed his prayer qua full salary for the probation period at that stage since the matter is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court. It is submitted that the respondents in the said circumstances be directed to clarify as to from which date the petitioner is being given the benefit of notional seniority.

Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions, has submitted that the said clarification would be given positively by the next date of hearing, failing which the respondent would pay an amount of ₹25,000/- as litigation expenses to the petitioner.

Adjourned to 16.02.2026.

January 29th, 2026"

3. Learned State counsel has produced a copy of speaking order dated 11.02.2026, which is taken on record and has been marked as "Mark A". A copy of the said order has also been handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that as per the said order, the notional pay and seniority of the petitioner is fixed from 22.11.2016.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in view of the said order, the present contempt petition be disposed of but the

aspect with respect to payment of full salary during the probation period, which is pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, be kept open.

5. In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of.

6. Since the issue with respect to payment of full salary for the probation period is stated to be pending before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, thus, the said issue is kept open and would be considered by the authorities after the passing of the judgment by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and in accordance with the said judgment.

February 16, 2026
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No