



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

214

COCp-6034-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.03.2026

Rajat Malik and others

...Petitioners

V/s

Jaswinder Kaur and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Mr. Supinder Singh Sohi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms. Shiny Chopra, AAG, Punjab.

VIKRAM AGGARWAL, J (ORAL)

The instant contempt petition alleges disobedience of the directions of the writ Court passed in CWP-15937-2025 vide order dated 28.05.2025;

“3. On being confronted with order dated 13.09.2024 passed by this Court in a bunch of petitions including CWP-15896-2023, Saurabh Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and another, Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab expressed his inability to controvert applicability of abovesaid judgment to instant petition.

4. In the wake of order dated 13.09.2024 passed by this Court, instant petition deserves to be allowed and accordingly allowed. Respondent is directed to reconsider claim of petition as per judgment of this Court in Saurabh Sharma (supra). Let the needful be done within 6 months from today.”

2. Reply by way of short affidavit of Sh. Gaurav Yadav, Director General of Police, Punjab filed in the Registry is taken on record. As per the same, in compliance of the directions issued, speaking order dated 19.01.2026 has been passed and the claim of the petitioners has been rejected.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that learned State counsel before the writ Court had conceded that the matter is covered by the case of

Saurabh Sharma and others vs. State of Punjab and another (CWP-15896-

2023, decided on 13.09.2024) and it was not open for the respondents to reject the case of the petitioners.

4. A perusal of the order (ibid) shows that the writ Bench had only observed that learned State counsel had been unable to controvert the applicability of the judgment in **Saurabh Sharma's** case (supra). Under the circumstances, the writ petition was allowed and the respondents were directed to consider the claim of the petitioners as per the judgment in the case of **Saurabh Sharma** (supra).

5. Now by way of the speaking order, the respondents have said that the ratio in **Saurabh Sharma's** case (supra) is not applicable to the case of the petitioners. This Court would not be in a position to go into the said issue and the petitioners may, if so advised, assail the said order before the appropriate forum.

6. That being so, the contempt petition is found to be devoid of merit and is accordingly dismissed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

March 10, 2026

vcgarg	Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether reportable	:	Yes/No