



CRWP-13096-2025 1
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

120

CRWP-13096-2025
Decided on: 15.01.2026

Asif Khan and another

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present criminal writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents.

2. On 04.12.2025 following order was passed:

“1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents.

2. Primarily, it is noticed that both the petitioners are residents of State of Rajasthan. Even the photographs of the marriage have not been appended along with the present petition.

3. Learned State counsel is directed to enquire about the credentials of both the petitioners and also about their original place of residents and other antecedents. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to handover the complete set of paper book to learned State counsel in Court today.



CRWP-13096-2025

2

4. *List again on 16.12.2025. Meanwhile, photographs of the marriage be also placed on record”*
3. Again on 16.12.2025 following was recorded:
 - “1. On a call given by the High Court Bar Association, vide resolution dated 15.12.2025, lawyers are observing strike today also, and not appearing in the Court(s).
 2. In the present protection petition, i.e. CRWP-13096-2025, on 04.12.2025, following order was passed by this Court:-
 - “1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of direction to the official respondents to provide protection of lives and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of the private respondents. 2. Primarily, it is noticed that both the petitioners are residents of State of Rajasthan. Even the photographs of the marriage have not been appended along with the present petition. 3. Learned State counsel is directed to enquire about the credentials of both the petitioners and also about their original place of residents and other antecedents. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to handover the complete set of paper book to learned State counsel in Court today. 4. List again on 16.12.2025. Meanwhile, photographs of the marriage be also placed on record.”
3. Today, Mr. Khurseed, (father of petitioner No.2 – Insana) and Mr. Ajruddin, (mama of petitioner No.2 – Insana) are present in the Court and submits that already, a police case has got registered against petitioner No.1 – Asif Khan, i.e. GD No.036 dated 03.12.2025, at Police Station Khairthal, Rajasthan, at the instance of father of petitioner No.2.
4. *In the interest of justice, adjourned to 15.01.2026.*

**CRWP-13096-2025****3**

6. A *photocopy* of this order be placed on the file of other connected case. *”

4. Today, learned State counsel has filed a status report by way of an affidavit of Ayush Yadav, IPS, Assistant Superintendent of Police, Nuh, in Court. Same is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place with the paper book.

As per paragraphs 4 to 7 of the status report, petitioners were not found residing at the temporary address mentioned in the memo of parties; rather, they were located at their permanent address in the State of Rajasthan. Statements of the petitioners were also recorded, wherein they expressed that they had no apprehension of threat to their life and liberty at the hands of the private respondents.

Since petitioners are residents of the State of Rajasthan and, moreover, as per the information supplied by learned counsel for the private respondents, petitioner No. 1 is facing proceedings in a case registered against him, this Court does not find any reason to issue any specific directions.

Accordingly, present petition stands dismissed.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

15.01.2026
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**